SITEK RESEARCH LABORATORIES
15235 Shady Grove Road, Suite 303, Rockville, Maryland 20850 • 301/926-4900 FAX 301/926-8891

FINAL REPORT

Study Title

Evaluation of a Test Article in the *Salmonella typhimurium/Escherichia coli* Plate Incorporation Mutation Assay in the Presence and Absence of Induced Rat Liver S-9

Test Article

N,N,N',N'-tetramethyl ethanediamine (TMEDA)

Author

Paul E. Kirby, Ph.D.

Performing Laboratory

SITEK Research Laboratories
15235 Shady Grove Road, Suite 303
Rockville, Maryland 20850

Laboratory Project I.D.

SITEK Study No.: 0977-2140

Study Initiation Date

January 16, 2008

Study Completion Date

June 12, 2008

Sponsor

USA RDECOM, AMSRD-MSF
Environmental Acquisition & Logistics
Sustaining Program
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

1. REPORT DATE	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED
06 DEC 2008		

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	5a. CONTRACT NUMBER
Evaluation of a Test Article in the Salmonella typhimurium/Escherichia coli Plate Incorporation Mutation Assay in the Presence and Absence of Induced Rat Liver S-9. Test article: N,N,N',N'-tetramethyl ethanediamine (TMEDA)	**W91ZLK-07-P-1646**
	5b. GRANT NUMBER
	5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER

6. AUTHOR(S)	5d. PROJECT NUMBER
Paul Kirby	5e. TASK NUMBER
	5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
SITEK Research Laboratories,15235 Shady Grove Road, Suite 303,Rockville,MD,20850	**SITEK Study No 0977-2140**

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
	11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)

12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

14. ABSTRACT

N,N,N?,N?-tetramethyl ethanediamine (TMEDA, 99.86% pure) was tested for mutagenic potentials with Salmonella typhimurium strains, TA 98, TA 100, TA 1535, TA 1537 and Escherichia coli strain WP2 uvrA by plate incorporation method according to OECD TG 471 in compliance with Good Laboratory Practice. TMEDA was tested at concentrations of 500, 750, 1,000, 3,000 and 5,000 ?g/plate for salmonella strains and Escherichia coli without and with activation. The results showed that TMEDA was not mutagenic in these strains both with and without activation. The result of confirmatory mutation assay with and without activation also showed negative response. TMEDA was negative in the Salmonella typhimurium/Escherichia coli plate incorporation mutation assay both with and without activation.

15. SUBJECT TERMS

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			
unclassified	**unclassified**	**unclassified**		**77**	

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98)
Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18

STUDY DIRECTOR'S COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

Study No.: 0977-2140
Sponsor's Test Article I.D.: N,N,N',N'-tetramethyl ethanediamine (TMEDA)

The protocol for this study was designed to meet or exceed the US EPA, OECD, and ICH Guidelines specified in the following documents:

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 798, Health Effects Testing Guidelines, Subpart F, Sec. 798.5265, the *Salmonella typhimurium* reverse mutation assay. Revised July 1, 2002.

OECD Guideline for the Testing of Chemicals, No. 471. Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test. Revised July 21, 1997.

International Conference on Harmonization of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use. ICH Harmonized Tripartite Guideline S2A. Guidance on Specific Aspects of Regulatory Genotoxicity Tests for Pharmaceuticals. Federal Register 61 (80):18198-18202, 1996.

The study described in this report was conducted in compliance with the following listed Good Laboratory Practice standards with the exception that the dosing solutions analysis was not conducted:

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations Parts 160 and 792, Revised July 1, 2002.

United States Food and Drug Administration, Title 21 Code of Federal Regulations Part 58, Revised April 1, 2003.

Japanese Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, 11 NohSan, Notification No. 6283, October 1, 1999.

Japanese Ministry of Health and Welfare, Ordinance No. 21, April 1, 1997.

Japanese Ministry of International Trade and Industry, Notification No. 85, Basic Industries Bu, March 31, 1984.

Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, The OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice, Environment Monograph No. 45 [ENV/MC/CHEM(98)17], Paris 1998.

Signature _____ 6-12-08

Paul E. Kirby, Ph.D. — Date
Study Director

QUALITY ASSURANCE UNIT'S STATEMENT

Study No.: 0977-2140
Sponsor's Test Article I.D.: N,N,N',N'-tetramethyl ethanediamine (TMEDA)

The performance of this study was audited for adherence to the Good Laboratory Practice regulations for nonclinical laboratory studies by the Quality Assurance Unit of SITEK Research Laboratories. In this context, the facilities, equipment, personnel, methods, practices, controls, original data and reports have been inspected as per SITEK's Quality Assurance Unit's Standard Operating Procedures. The information contained within this report accurately reflects the raw data generated from this study.

Protocol Review Date: 01-15-08

The following phases were inspected for this study:

Inspection Date	Phases Inspected	Date Findings Reported to Study Director	Date Findings Reported to Management
02-01-08	Plating of Tester Strains	02-01-08	02-01-08
04-08-08	Workbook Audit	04-08-08	04-14-08
04-15-08	Draft Report Audit	04-15-08	04-18-08
06-10-08	Final Report Audit	06-10-08	06-12-08

Signature Vian Lambert 6/12/08

Vian Lambert, B.S.
Quality Assurance Manager

Date

STUDY DIRECTOR'S SIGNATURE PAGE

This study was performed under the supervision of Shambhu K. Roy, Ph.D.*, and Paul E. Kirby, Ph.D. Study Directors for *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Escherichia coli* Gene Mutation Assays, at SITEK Research Laboratories, 15235 Shady Grove Road, Suite 303, Rockville, Maryland 20850.

The Final Report for this study was written by Dr. Kirby and released on June 12, 2008.

Signature

Paul E. Kirby, Ph.D.
Study Director

6-12-08
Date

* Dr. Roy was the Study Director for this assay until his departure from SITEK's employ on February 29, 2008, whereupon, Dr. Kirby assumed the position of Study Director.

ABSTRACT

The test article, N,N,N',N'-tetramethyl ethanediamine (TMEDA, 99.86% pure) was tested for its potential to cause mutations at the histidine operon of *Salmonella typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535 and TA1537, and at the tryptophan operon of *Escherichia coli* strain WP2 uvrA. The assay was conducted using plate incorporation method of treatment.

The test was conducted according to the method of Ames et al. in the presence and absence of metabolic activation using the S-9 fraction prepared from livers of Aroclor 1254-induced rats. The test article was tested for toxicity to strains TA100 and WP2 uvrA in a Range Finding Test at concentrations ranging from 5.0-5000 μg/plate. The test article was dissolved and subsequently diluted in deionized, distilled water. Deionized, distilled water (dd H_2O) was used as a solvent control. The tester strains were exposed to the test article in the absence of exogenous activation and in the presence of Aroclor 1254-induced rat liver S-9 plus cofactors. Toxicity was evaluated based on: 1) reversion frequency, 2) viability, and 3) integrity of the background lawn.

The results of the Range Finding Test indicated that for TA100 the test article, TMEDA, was nontoxic up to 5000 μg/plate in regard to reversion frequency and integrity of the background lawn. In addition, for TA100 the test article was also nontoxic in regard to viability up to 1000 μg/plate and the relative cloning efficiencies at concentrations of 5000 μg/plate were reduced to 34% without activation and to 40% with activation. For WP2 uvrA, the test article was nontoxic for all measures of toxicity up to the maximum test concentration of 5000 μg/plate.

The Definitive Mutation Assay, using the plate incorporation method of treatment, was performed with the four *Salmonella typhimurium* tester strains and *Escherichia coli* strain WP2 uvrA. Based on the results of the Range Finding Test, TMEDA was tested at concentrations of 500, 750, 1000, 3000, and 5000 μg/plate without and with activation. The results both without and with metabolic activation were negative. All of the treated plates had revertant counts that were similar to those of their corresponding solvent controls and the background lawns were normal. Both the solvent and positive controls fulfilled the requirements of a valid test.

The Confirmatory Mutation Assay was also performed using the plate incorporation method of treatment. TMEDA was tested again at concentrations of 500, 750, 1000, 3000, and 5000 μg/plate without and with metabolic activation. The results for the Confirmatory Mutation Assay were also negative. The background lawns were normal and the solvent and positive controls fulfilled the requirements of a valid test.

The results of the Mutation Assay indicate that test article, TMEDA, did not induce significant increases in the frequency of revertants for the tester strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, and WP2 uvrA in the presence and absence of induced rat liver S-9 plus cofactors when compared to the solvent controls. Therefore, under the conditions of this test and according to the criteria set for evaluating the test results, the test article, TMEDA, was negative in the *Salmonella typhimurium/Escherichia coli* Plate Incorporation Mutation Assay both with and without activation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

This study was conducted by Shambhu K. Roy, Ph.D., Paul E. Kirby, Ph.D., Shashi Sharma, B.S., and Adrienne Parker, B.S., from January 25, 2008 to March 12, 2008, at SITEK Research Laboratories. The experimental procedures used to perform this study were essentially those of B. N. Ames, et al. (1), D. Maron and B. N. Ames (2), M. H. L. Green and W. J. Muriel (3), and S. Venitt and J. M. Parry (eds.) (4).

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the test article, N,N,N',N'-tetramethyl ethanediamine (TMEDA), for its potential to cause mutations in the histidine operon of *Salmonella typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535 and TA1537 and the tryptophan operon of *Escherichia coli* strain WP2 uvrA using the Plate Incorporation method of treatment. The *Salmonella typhimurium/Escherichia coli* Plate Incorporation Mutation Assay has been used extensively and has been demonstrated to be effective in detecting mutations caused by compounds from a wide range of chemical classes (1-4). Over several years, a large database of results has been accumulated which has confirmed its ability to detect genetically active compounds of most chemical classes with high efficiency (5).

The Ames Assay, in general, is performed using either the Plate Incorporation method or Pre-Incubation method. From the regulatory point of view, both assays are equally acceptable. In the Plate Incorporation method, treatment is performed by adding either 500 µL of sterile, deionized water or 500 µL of S-9 cofactor mix to tubes containing 2.0 mL of top agar supplemented with 1X histidine-biotin or 1X tryptophan solution. Immediately thereafter, 100 µL of bacteria is added followed by 100 µL of the appropriate test article concentration or solvent control. Each tube is vortexed for 2-3 seconds, and the contents are evenly distributed over a Vogel-Bonner bottom agar plate. Each plate is placed on a level surface until the top agar solidifies. The plates are inverted and incubated at 37 ± 1°C for 48 to 72 hours. In the Pre-Incubation method the treatment is performed by adding either 500 µL of sterile, deionized water or 500 µL of S-9 cofactor mix to tubes followed by 100 µL of bacteria and 100 µL of the appropriate test article concentration or solvent. The tubes are incubated at 37 ± 1°C for 20-30 minutes in a shaker incubator. Finally, 2.0 mL of top agar supplemented with 1X histidine-biotin or 1X tryptophan solution is added to the tube, the contents are vortexed 2-3 seconds and spread over a Vogel-Bonner bottom agar plate. The plates are inverted and incubated at 37 ± 1°C for 48 to 72 hours. For some of the Azo and nitrosamine family of compounds, pre-incubation of the culture is required in order to be metabolized prior to plating. The Pre-Incubation method of treatment is performed at the request of the Sponsor.

The agar contains a trace of histidine that allows all the bacteria to undergo several divisions, thus producing a faint background lawn of bacteria. DNA replication is necessary in many cases for mutagenesis to occur and therefore the background lawn provides a good indicator of the inhibition of growth caused by the test chemical. Mutational events are rare, therefore it is essential that large populations of bacteria are used in mutagenicity testing. Maximum sensitivity is achieved by plating around $1X10^8$ bacteria.

The Ames Assay is the most widely used of all methods for determining the mutagenicity of chemicals. Because the bacterial strains used in this assay lack the enzymes necessary for metabolizing promutagens to ultimate mutagens, rat liver S-9 induced with Aroclor 1254 was added as a substitute for mammalian metabolism. This assay detects point mutations only and measures reverse mutation from acid auxotrophy to prototrophy. In this method, the bacterial strains used carry base substitution or frame shift mutations in operons coding for synthesis of specific amino acids. Therefore, these mutants (unlike their wild-type counterparts) cannot synthesize all their required amino acids from inorganic sources of nitrogen, being auxotrophic for the specific amino acids histidine and tryptophan. This assay determines whether the test article can reverse the effect of the pre-existing mutation by introducing a second mutation. When the cultures are exposed to a mutagen, some of the bacteria undergo genetic changes due to chemical interactions resulting in reversion of the bacteria to a non-histidine-requiring state or non-tryptophan-requiring state. The reverted bacteria will then grow in the absence of exogenous histidine or tryptophan thus providing an indication of the potential of the test chemical to cause mutation. Multiple tester strains are necessary because different strains are mutated by a different class (or different classes) of compound. The genotypes of the strains are verified concurrently.

The following are the details of possible mutations in the different strains (4):

Bacterial Strain	Mutation	Rfa	UvrB	R Factor (pKM101)	Type of Mutation
TA98*	HISD 3052	Yes	Yes	Yes	Frame shift
TA100**	HIS G46	Yes	Yes	Yes	Base Pair Substitution Frame shift
TA1535**	HIS G46 B-P	Yes	Yes	No	Base Pair Substitution
TA1537	HISC 3076	Yes	Yes	No	Frame shift
E. coli	Trp-	Yes	No (uvrA)	No	Base Pair Substitution

* TA98 was derived from TA1538 (pKM101 plasmid added).
** TA100 was derived from TA1535 (pKM101 plasmid added).
rfa - Defective lipopolysaccharide coat. More permeable to chemicals. (Sensitive to crystal violet.)
uvrB - Reduced error-free repair of some types of DNA damage. (Sensitive to UV light.)
R Factor (pKM101) - Increases sensitivity by enhancing error-prone DNA repair. (Ampicillin resistant if plasmid present.)
uvrA - Less DNA repair.

MATERIALS

TEST ARTICLE

1.	Name:	N,N,N',N'-tetramethyl ethanediamine (TMEDA)
2.	CAS No.:	110-18-9
3.	Provided by:	US Army Environmental Acquisition & Logistics Sustaining Group
4.	Batch/Lot No.:	10588KD
5.	Physical Appearance:	Clear Liquid
6.	Shipping Conditions:	Room Temperature
7.	Date Received:	January 10, 2008
8.	Storage Conditions:	Refrigerated (1 - 5° C)
9.	Purity:	99.86%
10.	Expiration Date:	Not Available

A Certificate of Analysis for the test article is presented in Appendix VI.

CONTROL ARTICLES

Positive Controls

The positive control chemicals used for the tester strains in the presence and absence of exogenous metabolic activation are presented below:

Strain	S-9	Chemical	Concentration (µg/plate)
TA98	-	2-NF (2-Nitrofluorene)	5.0
TA98	+	2-AA (2-Aminoanthracene)	2.5
TA100	-	NaAz (Sodium Azide)	1.0
TA100	+	2-AA (2-Aminoanthracene)	2.5
TA1535	-	NaAz (Sodium Azide)	1.0
TA1535	+	2-AA (2-Aminoanthracene)	2.5
TA1537	-	9-AA (9-Aminoacridine)	75
TA1537	+	2-AA (2-Aminoanthracene)	5.0
WP2 uvrA	-	MMS (Methyl Methanesulfonate)	4000
WP2 uvrA	+	2-AA (2-Aminoanthracene)	20

The following is the information for each of the positive controls used in this assay:

Chemical	*Source	CAS No.	Lot No.	Storage Conditions	Expiration Date
2-AA	Aldrich	613-13-8	15216JA	1-5°C	08-29-09
9-AA	Aldrich	52417-22-8	1126KD	1-5°C	10-24-11
2-NF	Aldrich	607-57-8	092138A	1-5°C	03-23-12
NaAz	Sigma	26628-22-8	073K0119	1-5°C	03-23-12
MMS	Aldrich	66-27-3	129925-5G	1-5°C	11-02-10

* SIGMA-ALDRICH, St. Louis, MO 63178.

The positive controls 2-AA, 9-AA, and 2-NF were dissolved in DMSO. NaAz and MMS were dissolved in sterile deionized distilled water. Multiple vials of the above mentioned positive controls were prepared and frozen at -70 °C ± 10 were used in this assay. The sources, lot numbers and expiration dates of the DMSO are given below:

Source: EMD Chemicals, Inc.
Gibbstown, NJ 08027

Lot No.: 46081638

Storage Conditions: Room Temperature

Expiration Dates: February 9, 2012
November 20, 2012

CAS No: 67-68-5

Source: Sigma Chemical Company
St. Louis, MO 63178

Lot No.: 10585CH

Storage Conditions: Room Temperature

Expiration Date: January 16, 2012

The source, batch numbers and expiration date of the sterile deionized, distilled water are given below:

Source: SITEK

Batch No.: 92

Storage Conditions: Room Temperature

Expiration Dates: December 5, 2008

Solvent Control

The test article, TMEDA, was prepared and diluted in deionized, distilled water. Therefore, deionized, distilled water was used as the solvent control. The source, batch numbers and expiration dates of the deionized, distilled water are provided below:

Source: SITEK

Batch No.: 93, 94 and 95

Storage Conditions: Room Temperature

Expiration Dates: July 9, 2008, July 24, 2008 August 13, 2008

INDICATOR CELLS

Source

The *Salmonella typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535 and TA1537 were originally obtained from Dr. Bruce N. Ames, University of California, Berkeley. The *Escherichia coli* strain WP2 uvrA was obtained from Ms. Judy Mayo of Pharmacia and Upjohn Co., Kalamazoo, Michigan.

CULTURE CONDITIONS

The cells were grown in Oxoid Nutrient Broth No. 2 (Oxoid LTD, Hampshire, England) in a shaker incubator rotating at approximately 120 rpm and maintained at a temperature of 37 ± 1°C. Stock cultures of the tester strains were cryopreserved at SITEK Research Laboratories. Scrapes from the cryopreserved stock were used to initiate the overnight cultures for the test.

METABOLIC ACTIVATION SYSTEM

For the activated portion of the range finding and mutation assays, the cells were exposed to the test article in conjunction with an exogenous metabolic activation system consisting of Aroclor-induced rat liver S-9 in 0.154M KCl plus cofactors (S-9 mix). The components of the standard S-9 mix were 8mM $MgCl_2$, 33mM KCl, 5mM glucose-6-phosphate, 4mM NADP, 100mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), and 10% rat liver homogenate prepared from Aroclor 1254-induced, Sprague-Dawley rats. The S-9 batches used in this study were also evaluated for sterility, protein content and promutagen activity. Dilutions of the S-9, ranging from 0.2% to 10% in S-9 mix were tested for their ability to activate benzo(α)pyrene (BP) and 2-aminoanthracene (2-AA) to intermediates mutagenic to *Salmonella* strain TA100.

Source:	Molecular Toxicology, Inc., Boone, NC 28607
Inducing Agent:	Aroclor 1254
S-9 Lot No.:	2212
Protein Content:	38.9 mg/mL

Storage Conditions: ≤ -70°C
Expiration Date: November 8, 2009

Detailed information concerning the S-9 batch used in the Assay is provided in Appendix V.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

DOCUMENTATION

The materials, experimental procedures used in the performance of the study, experimental results and methods used in the evaluation of the results were documented in the study workbook.

TEST SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION

Plate Incorporation Method

The Plate Incorporation method is performed by adding either 500 µL of sterile deionized, water or 500 µL of S-9 cofactor mix to tubes containing 2.0 mL of top agar supplemented with 1X histidine-biotin or 1X tryptophan solution. Immediately thereafter, 100 µL of respective bacteria is added followed by 100 µL of the appropriate test article concentration or solvent. Each tube is vortexed for 2-3 seconds, and the contents are evenly distributed over a Vogel-Bonner bottom agar plate. Each plate is placed on a level surface until the top agar solidified. The plates are inverted and incubated at 37 ± 1°C for 48 to 72 hours.

Labeling Plates for the Mutation Assay

A sufficient number of Vogel-Bonner agar plates was removed from refrigerated storage and allowed to warm to room temperature. Each plate was then labeled with the following information: SITEK's test article number, experiment phase, presence or absence of rat liver S-9 mixture, concentration level code, and strain code. The following strain and concentration level codes were used:

Strain Codes:

1 = TA98 3 = TA1535 5 = WP2 uvrA
2 = TA100 4 = TA1537

Concentration Level Codes:

0 = Solvent for the Test Article
1 = 1st or highest Test Article concentration level
2 = 2nd Test Article concentration level
3 = 3rd Test Article concentration level
4 = 4th Test Article concentration level
5 = 5th Test Article concentration level or lowest Test Article concentration level for the Mutation Assays
6 = 6th Test Article concentration level
7 = 7th Test Article concentration level or lowest Test Article concentration level for the Range Finding Test.

In addition to the above, Mutation Assay viability plates that contained 10X histidine-biotin or 10X tryptophan were designated with the prefix "T".

Labeling Positive Control Plates

Vogel-Bonner agar plates were removed from refrigerated storage and allowed to warm to room temperature. Triplicate sets were labeled with the test article number, identity and concentration of the particular positive control, experimental phase, strain code, and the presence or absence of rat exogenous metabolic activation.

Labeling Tester Strain Titer Plates

Each tester strain titer plate was labeled with the following information: SITEK test article number, tester strain identity, and experimental phase and the prefix T.

Labeling Tester Strain Characterization Plates

Histidine Requirement

A single histidine-biotin plate was divided into four zones by drawing horizontal lines on the bottom of the plate with a marking pen and labeling each zone with a different *Salmonella* tester strain. A biotin-only control plate was labeled in a similar manner.

rfa Mutation

Nutrient agar plates were labeled with the *Salmonella* tester strain identification and "CV" (crystal violet).

R-Factor

A single ampicillin agar plate was labeled in a similar manner as the histidine-biotin plate.

Tryptophan Requirement

A tryptophan plate and a Vogel-Bonner agar control plate were labeled with the code for strain WP2 uvrA and used for confirmation of the tryptophan requirement.

SOLUBILITY DETERMINATION

The Sponsor specified water as the solvent of choice. Therefore, a solubility test was not performed.

PREPARATION OF TEST CULTURES

The methods used for the cryopreservation and cultivation of the tester strains are the procedures used by B. N. Ames et al. (1) as modified by D. Maron and B. N. Ames (2).

Inoculation Procedures

Frozen ampules of strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537 and WP2 uvrA for the Mutation Assay were removed from liquid nitrogen and placed into crushed dry ice to prevent thawing. Scrapes were made using the tip of a sterile pipette, and these scrapes were transferred to a shaker flask containing approximately 50 mL of sterile Oxoid Nutrient Broth No. 2. The strains were incubated on a shaker at approximately 120 rpm and 37 ± 1°C. The *Salmonella* strains were removed approximately 8-12 hours after the unit started and the *E. coli* strain was removed after approximately 4-6 hours.

Harvesting Overnight Cultures

Before starting the experiment, the cultures were sampled and their percent transmittance (%T) was determined using a spectrophotometer set to a wavelength of 650 nm.

When the desired cell density of approximately $5x10^8$ to $1x10^9$ cells/mL (represented by a %T of between 25% and 10%, Optical Density of 0.6-1.0) was achieved, the cultures were placed on wet ice or kept at 1-5°C until needed.

PREPARATION OF METABOLIC ACTIVATION SYSTEM

The S-9 cofactor mix was prepared as follows: For each mL of S-9 cofactor mix required, 0.335 mL of sterile deionized, distilled water was combined with 0.5 mL of 0.2M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), 0.04 mL of a 0.1M NADP solution, 5.0 µL of 1M glucose-6-phosphate, and 0.02 mL of a 0.4M $MgCl_2$/1.65M KCl salt solution. This mixture was maintained on ice until just prior to use, whereupon 0.10 mL of S-9 in 0.154M KCl was added to the mixture.

PREPARATION OF TEST ARTICLE DOSING SOLUTIONS

For the Range Finding Test and Definitive and Confirmatory Mutation Assays the test article was dissolved and diluted in deionized, distilled water in glass tubes. All the test article and control substance preparations and treatments were done under UV filtered lights to avoid possible problems of photoinactivation. The concentration and stability of the test article under experimental conditions was not determined.

RANGE FINDING TEST

In order to determine the toxicity of the test article and to select appropriate test article concentrations for the Definitive Mutation Assay, a Range Finding Test was performed using strains TA100 and WP2 uvrA. The two strains have been successfully used and are sufficient to approximate the range of toxicity of the test article. Seven concentrations of the test article ranging from 5.0-5000 µg/plate were evaluated with and without induced rat liver S-9, using one plate per concentration.

Spontaneous Reversion Frequency

Treatment was performed by adding either 500 µL of sterile deionized, distilled water or 500 µL of S-9 cofactor mix to tubes containing 2.0 mL of top agar supplemented with 1X histidine biotin or 1X tryptophan solution. Immediately thereafter, 100 µL of TA100 or WP2 uvrA was added followed by 100 µL of the appropriate test article concentration or solvent. Each tube was vortexed for 2-3 seconds, and the contents were evenly distributed over a Vogel-Bonner bottom agar plate. Each plate was placed on a level surface until the top agar solidified. The plates were inverted and incubated at 37 ± 1°C for 48 to 72 hours.

Viable Count Determination

Treatment and incubation were performed as described in the preceding paragraphs, except that approximately 250-500 cells of TA100 or WP2 uvrA were added to top agar supplemented with 10X histidine-biotin or 10X tryptophan solution.

After the incubation period was completed, the plates, starting with the highest test article concentration, were observed for the presence of precipitate. Plates having no interfering precipitate were counted for revertant colonies using an automatic colony counter (ARTEK Counter, Model 880, Manassas, Virginia 20110). Three counts were taken by rotating the plate on the counter stage and the median count was entered into a validated, MS Office Excel spreadsheet program designated as "2140A.xlw".

The background lawn was also evaluated. The following notations were used for the precipitate and background lawn evaluation:

Chemical Precipitate:

NP = No precipitate present.

SP = Slight precipitate - Noticeable compound on the plate; however, no influence on automated plate counting.

MP = Moderate precipitate - Moderate precipitate requiring hand counting for colony enumeration.

HP = Heavy precipitate - Large amount of compound on the plate rendering hand counting difficult.

Background Lawn Evaluation:

NL = Normal, healthy microcolony lawn.

SR = A noticeable thinning of the microcolony lawn compared to that of the solvent control plates.

MR = Marked thinning of the microcolony lawn and an increase in the size of the microcolonies compared to the solvent control plates.

ER = Extreme thinning of the microcolony lawn and a large increase in the size of the microcolonies compared to the solvent control plates.

AB = Absence of any microcolony bacterial lawn.

OP = Obscured by precipitate.

Determination of Relative Cloning Efficiency

The corrected viability counts from each concentration with and without activation in *Salmonella* strain TA100 and in *Escherichia coli* strain WP2 uvrA were compared with the respective solvent control viability counts. The resulting ratio is the Relative Cloning Efficiency (RCE) and was converted into a percentage, and the data were included in the Range Finding Test results. Relative Colony Efficiency measures the toxicity of test article in terms of cell viability. Generally, diluted cultures are treated at various test article concentrations and mixed with top agar containing higher concentration of respective amino acids (10X histidine-biotin or tryptophan). All viable bacteria are able to make countable colony. It is desirable, if possible, to test one or two higher concentrations around 50% toxicity level (reduction of RCE by 50% in comparison to concurrent control) in the mutation assays. This is not valid for the non-toxic test compound. Relative Colony Efficiencies are not determined during the Definitive and Confirmatory Mutation Assays as the range of toxicity information is already available from the Range Finding Assay.

MUTATION ASSAYS

Definitive Mutation Assay

Concentrations for the Definitive Mutation Assay were selected based on the results of the Range Finding Test. The Definitive Mutation Assay was performed with the four *Salmonella typhimurium* tester strains (TA98, TA100, TA1535 and TA1537) and *Escherichia coli* strain WP2 uvrA using the plate incorporation method of treatment. Based on the results of the Range Finding Test, the test article was tested at concentrations of 500, 750, 1000, 3000, and 5000 µg/plate

without and with metabolic activation. Treatment was performed by adding either 500 µL of sterile deionized, distilled water or 500 µL of rat S-9 cofactor mix to tubes containing 2.0 mL of top agar supplemented with 1X histidine-biotin or 1X tryptophan solution. Immediately thereafter, 100 µL of strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537 or WP2 uvrA were added, followed by 100 µL of the appropriate test article concentration or solvent. The positive controls were treated with 100 µL of the appropriate stock solutions. Each tube was vortexed for 2-3 seconds and the contents were evenly distributed over a Vogel-Bonner bottom agar plate. Each plate was placed on a level surface until the top agar solidified. The plates then were inverted and incubated at 37 ± 1°C for approximately 48 - 72 hours.

Tester Strain Titer Determination

Each tester strain was diluted to determine the approximate number of viable cells delivered to the assay plates. Therefore, approximately 250-500 cells were added to top agar supplemented with 10X histidine-biotin or 10X tryptophan solution. Each tube was vortexed for 2-3 seconds and the contents were evenly distributed on bottom agar plates. The plates were incubated at 37 ± 1°C for approximately 48 to 72 hours.

Tester Strain Characterization

All of the *Salmonella typhimurium* strains used in the assay were confirmed for the histidine requirement and the rfa mutation. In addition, strains TA98 and TA100 were tested for the presence of the pKM101 plasmid. *Escherichia coli* strain WP2 uvrA was confirmed for the tryptophan requirement.

Histidine or Tryptophan Requirement

A streak of each tester strain was made by dipping a flamed wire loop into the appropriate undiluted tester strain suspension and drawing it across the surface in the appropriate region of a labeled histidine-biotin or tryptophan plate, as well as control plates. The plates were incubated at 37 ± 1°C for approximately 12 to 24 hours.

rfa Mutation

For each of the *Salmonella* tester strains, a 100 µL aliquot of the undiluted culture was added to a tube containing 2.0 mL of 1X histidine-biotin solution in top agar. Each tube was vortexed for 2-3 seconds, and the contents were poured onto an appropriately labeled nutrient agar plate. After allowing the plate to solidify, a sterile disc was aseptically placed in the center of the agar overlay. Ten µL of a 1.0 mg/mL crystal violet solution was then added to the disc. The plates were incubated at 37 ± 1°C for approximately 12 to 24 hours.

R-Factor Plasmid

A streak of each of the *Salmonella* tester strains was made by dipping a flamed wire loop into the appropriate suspension and drawing it across the surface in the appropriate region of an ampicillin plate. The plates were incubated at 37 ± 1°C for approximately 12 to 24 hours.

uvrB Deletion

After the cryopreservation of the *Salmonella typhimurium* strains and the *E. coli* strain, the stock ampules were checked for uvrB deletion. For each of the *Salmonella* tester strains, a 100 µL aliquot of the undiluted culture was added to a tube containing 2.0 mL of 1X histidine-biotin solution top agar. One hundred µL of the *E. coli* strain was added to a tube containing 2.0 mL of 1X tryptophan solution top agar. Each tube was vortexed for 2-3 seconds, and the contents were poured onto an appropriately labeled nutrient agar plate. After allowing the plate to solidify, half of the plate was covered with foil. The plates were placed under UV light for thirty seconds and then incubated at 37 ± 1°C for approximately 12 to 24 hours.

Evaluation of Assay Results

After the incubation period was completed, the plates, starting with the highest test article concentration, were observed for the presence of precipitate. Plates were counted for the frequency of revertant colonies using an ARTEK counter, model 880. Three counts were taken by rotating the plate on the counter stage and the median count was entered into a validated, MS Office Excel spreadsheet program designated as "2140B.xlw".

The background lawn was also evaluated. The same notations as in the Range Finding Test were used to evaluate the precipitate and background lawn.

Evaluation of Tester Strain Characterization

The requirement for histidine or tryptophan was demonstrated by the growth of the tester strains on plates supplemented with histidine or tryptophan and the lack of growth on the control plates.

The presence of the rfa mutation was evaluated by measuring the zone of inhibition around the crystal violet disc. A zone ≥ 12 mm in diameter was evidence of appropriate inhibition.

The presence of the pKM101 plasmid was demonstrated by the growth of strains TA98 and TA100 and the lack of growth of strains TA1535 and TA1537 streaked on ampicillin plates.

Tabulation of Colony Counts

The colony counts provided by the automatic colony counter or by hand count were raw counts and were not corrected to reflect actual counts. Correction of the counts was performed by computer. The data tables presented in Appendix I contain the corrected values. The correction factor was determined by comparing a wide range of manual and automatic counts, as described in SITEK's SOP No. 21.0. The relationship was linear, and the counts were corrected by using the following formula:

Corrected Count = (Raw Counts) (1.0571607) + 3.09496

Confirmatory Mutation Assay

To confirm the results of the Definitive Assay, a Confirmatory Mutation Assay was performed using the plate incorporation method, with and without activation using the same test article concentrations. All test article concentrations, including the controls, were tested in triplicate.

CRITERIA FOR A VALID ASSAY

The following criteria were used as guidelines in evaluating the acceptability of the Mutation Assay. Because it is impossible to formulate criteria that would apply to every configuration of data generated by the assay, the Study Director was responsible for the ultimate decision regarding the acceptability of the results.

Solvent Control Cultures

The mean reversion frequency (number of colonies on Agar plates) of the test article solvent control plates for each tester strain should fall within the following ranges:

TA98	30 ± 15	WP2 uvrA	15 ± 10
TA100	100 ± 70		
TA1535	20 ± 15		
TA1537	15 ± 12		

Positive Controls

The results for the positive control cultures were considered acceptable if the treated strains had a mean reversion frequency that was three times or higher, than the mean reversion frequency of the solvent control plates.

Tester Strain Characterization

All of the *Salmonella typhimurium* strains were confirmed positive for histidine dependence. *Escherichia coli* strain WP2 uvrA was confirmed positive for tryptophan dependence.

All of the *Salmonella typhimurium* strains were confirmed positive for the rfa mutation as evidenced by sensitivity to crystal violet.

The R-factor strains, TA98 and TA100, were confirmed positive for the pKM101 plasmid as evidenced by ampicillin resistance.

The titer of the stock cultures for each strain indicated that the stock cultures contained greater than 0.5×10^9 bacteria per mL.

EVALUATION OF TEST RESULTS

The following criteria were used as guidelines in evaluating the results of the Mutation Assay for a negative, positive or equivocal response. Because it is impossible to write criteria that would apply to every configuration of data generated by the assay, the Study Director was responsible for the ultimate decision concerning the results.

Criteria for a Negative Response

A response was considered to be negative if all of the strains treated with the test article had mean reversion frequencies that were less than twice that of the mean reversion frequencies of the corresponding solvent control plates in TA98 and TA100 and less than three times in TA1535, TA1537 and WP2 uvrA, and there was no evidence of a concentration-dependent response.

Criteria for a Positive Response

A response was considered to be positive if either strain TA98 or TA100 exhibited a mean reversion frequency that was at least double the mean reversion frequency of the corresponding solvent control in at least one concentration, or if either strain TA1535, TA1537 or WP2 uvrA exhibited a three-fold increase in the mean reversion frequency compared to the solvent control in at least one concentration. In addition, the response must have been concentration-dependent or increasing concentrations of the test article must have showed increasing mean reversion frequencies. In evaluating the results, consideration was given to the degree of toxicity exhibited by the concentration causing the 2 to 3-fold or greater increase in reversion frequency and the magnitude of the increase in reversion frequency.

Criteria for an Equivocal Response

A response was considered equivocal if it did not fulfill the criteria of either a negative or a positive response and/or the Study Director did not consider the response to be either positive or negative.

ARCHIVES

All of the raw data, documentation, protocol, protocol amendments/deviations, and final report along with an electronic file containing the data tables and final report of the study, will be maintained for 10 years in SITEK Research Laboratories' Archives at 15235 Shady Grove Road, Suite 303, Rockville, Maryland 20850.

RESULTS

SOLUBILITY TEST

The Sponsor specified water as the solvent of choice. Therefore, a solubility test was not performed.

RANGE FINDING TEST

Summaries of the results of the Range Finding Test are presented in Tables 1 and 2 (Appendix I). The individual plate counts and background lawn evaluations are presented in Appendix II.

TA100:

The Relative Cloning Efficiencies (RCEs) at the concentrations of 5.0 to 5000 µg/plate without activation ranged from 142% to 34%. The test article was toxic at 5000 µg/plate marked by an RCE of 34%. In the activation system, the RCEs at the concentrations of 5.0 to 5000 µg/plate ranged from 206% to 40%. The test article was toxic at the concentration of 5000 µg/plate marked by an RCE of 40%. No precipitate was observed at any of the tested concentrations and all of the background lawns were normal.

WP2 uvrA:

The Relative Cloning Efficiencies (RCEs) for the test article concentrations from 5.0 to 5000 µg/plate ranged from 145% to 116% without activation and from 132% to 91% with activation. Test article was nontoxic at all concentrations tested. No precipitate was observed and the background lawns were normal at all tested concentrations.

MUTATION ASSAYS

Definitive Mutation Assay

Summaries of the results of the Definitive Mutation Assay are presented in Tables 3 and 4 in Appendix I. The individual plate counts and background lawn evaluations are presented in Appendix II.

The Definitive Mutation Assay, using the plate incorporation method of treatment, was performed with the four *Salmonella* tester strains (TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537) and with *E. coli* strain WP2 uvrA. Based on the results of the Range Finding Test the test article was tested at concentrations of 500, 750, 1000, 3000, and 5000 µg/plate without and with activation. All of the test article-treated plates for all strains had revertant counts that were similar to those of their corresponding solvent controls and the background lawns were normal. Therefore, the results of the Definitive Mutation Assay were negative. Both the solvent and positive controls fulfilled the requirements of the test.

Confirmatory Mutation Assay

The Confirmatory Mutation Assay was performed again using the plate incorporation method of treatment. The same strains and test article concentrations used for the Definitive Mutation Assay were used for the Confirmatory Mutation Assay. Summaries of the results of the Confirmatory Mutation Assay are presented in Tables 5 and 6 in Appendix I. The individual plate counts and background lawn evaluations are presented in Appendix II. As in the Definitive Assay all strains treated with test article had revertant counts that were similar to their corresponding controls and the background lawns were normal. Therefore, the results were negative. Both the solvent and positive controls fulfilled the requirements of the test, however strains TA100 and TA1537 had titers indicating that 0.452×10^8 and 0.438×10^8 cells were seeded instead of at least 0.500×10^8 cells seeded. This did not affect the validity of the assay.

SITEK's historical data for positive and solvent controls are presented in Appendix IV.

ANALYSIS OF DOSING SOLUTIONS

The Sponsor did not elect to have dosing solutions analyzed.

CONCLUSIONS

The test article, N,N,N',N'-tetramethyl ethanediamine (TMEDA, 99.86% pure) was tested in the *Salmonella typhimurium/Escherichia coli* Plate Incorporation Mutation Assay in the presence and absence of induced rat liver S-9. Definitive and Confirmatory Assays were performed.

The results of the Mutation Assays indicate that test article, TMEDA, did not induce any increases in the revertant frequencies for the tester strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, and WP2 uvrA in the presence and absence of induced rat liver S-9 plus cofactors when compared to the solvent controls.

Therefore, under the conditions of this study, the test article, TMEDA, was negative in the *Salmonella typhimurium/Escherichia coli* Plate Incorporation Mutation Assay both with and without activation.

REFERENCES

1. Ames, B. N., J. McCann and E. Yamasaki. Methods for detecting carcinogens and mutagens with the *Salmonella*/mammalian-microsome mutagenicity test. Mut. Res., 31:347-367, 1975.

2. Maron, D., and B. N. Ames. Revised methods for the *Salmonella* mutagenicity test. Mut. Res., 113:173-215, 1983.

3. Green, M. H. L., and W. J. Muriel. Mutagen testing using trp+ reversion in *Escherichia coli.* In: B. J. Kilbey, et al. (eds.), Handbook of Mutagenicity Test Procedures, pp. 65-94, Elsevier North Holland Biomedical Press, Amsterdam, 1977.

4. Venitt, S., and J. M. Parry (eds.). Mutagenicity Testing: A Practical Approach. IRL Press, Oxford, England and Washington, D.C., 1984.

5. Jagannath, D.R. & Brusick, D.J. (1983) Mutagens and Carcinogens in Bacteria. In A Guide to General Toxicology (eds. Homburger, F., Hayes, J.A. & Pelikan, E.W.), S. Karger AG, P.O. Box, CH-4009 Basel, Switzerland, pp 344-364.

APPENDIX I

DATA TABLES

TABLE 1

SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM PLATE INCORPORATION MUTATION ASSAY
RANGE FINDING TEST RESULTS

SPONSOR: USA RDECOM, AMSRD-MSF
EXPERIMENT NO.: A1
TEST ARTICLE: TMEDA

SITEK STUDY NO.: 0977-2140
SOLVENT: ddH2O
STRAIN: TA100

WITHOUT ACTIVATION						WITH S-9 ACTIVATION					
Test Article Conc. µg/Plate	No. of Rever-tants/ Plate	Chem. PPT. Eval.*	Back-ground Lawn Evalu-ation**	No. of Viable Colo-nies/ Plate	Rela-tive Cloning Effi-ciency (RCE)	Test Article Conc. µg/Plate	No. of Rever-tants/ Plate	Chem. PPT. Eval.*	Back-ground Lawn Evalu-ation**	No. of Viable Colo-nies/ Plate	Rela-tive Cloning Effi-ciency (RCE)
5.0	129	NP	NL	1005	102%	5.0	121	NP	NL	716	127%
10	163	NP	NL	1024	104%	10	102	NP	NL	736	131%
50	184	NP	NL	1396	142%	50	106	NP	NL	1161	206%
100	147	NP	NL	984	100%	100	100	NP	NL	727	129%
500	142	NP	NL	931	95%	500	124	NP	NL	578	103%
1000	174	NP	NL	808	82%	1000	108	NP	NL	588	104%
5000	186	NP	NL	335	34%	5000	146	NP	NL	226	40%
SOLV. CONT.	128	NP	NL	983	100%	SOLV. CONT.	124	NP	NL	563	100%

$$RCE = \frac{\text{No. of Colonies in Test Plates}}{\text{No. of Colonies in Solvent Control Plates}} \times 100$$

* Chemical Precipitate Evaluation
NP = No precipitate
SP = Slight precipitate; noticeable precipitate on the plate, but no interference with automated plate counting
MP = Moderate precipitate; marked precipitate necessitating hand counting for colony enumeration
HP = Heavy precipitate; large amount of precipitate rendering hand counting difficult or impossible

** Background Lawn Evaluation
NL = Normal, healthy microcolony lawn
SR = Noticeable thinning of the microcolony lawn compared to control
MR = Marked thinning of the microcolony lawn and increase in size of microcolonies compared to control
ER = Extreme thinning of the microcolony lawn and large increase in size of microcolonies compared to control
AB = Absence of microcolonies
OP = Obscured by precipitate

Verified by: QAU: UL Study Director: GK

TABLE 2

ESCHERICHIA COLI PLATE INCORPORATION MUTATION ASSAY
RANGE FINDING TEST RESULTS

SPONSOR: USA RDECOM, AMSRD-MSF
EXPERIMENT NO.: A1
TEST ARTICLE: TMEDA

SITEK STUDY NO.: 0977-2140
SOLVENT: ddH2O
STRAIN: WP2 uvrA

WITHOUT ACTIVATION						WITH S-9 ACTIVATION					
Test Article Conc. µg/Plate	No. of Revertants/ Plate	Chem. PPT. Eval.*	Background Lawn Evaluation**	No. of Viable Colonies/ Plate	Relative Cloning Efficiency (RCE)	Test Article Conc. µg/Plate	No. of Revertants/ Plate	Chem. PPT. Eval.*	Background Lawn Evaluation**	No. of Viable Colonies/ Plate	Relative Cloning Efficiency (RCE)
5.0	24	NP	NL	1470	145%	5.0	51	NP	NL	1331	91%
10	38	NP	NL	1363	135%	10	27	NP	NL	1444	99%
50	32	NP	NL	1285	127%	50	36	NP	NL	1705	117%
100	27	NP	NL	1417	140%	100	35	NP	NL	1732	119%
500	26	NP	NL	1177	116%	500	34	NP	NL	1873	128%
1000	24	NP	NL	1229	121%	1000	28	NP	NL	1922	132%
5000	38	NP	NL	1293	128%	5000	32	NP	NL	1333	91%
SOLV. CONT.	27	NP	NL	1012	100%	SOLV. CONT.	30	NP	NL	1461	100%

$$RCE = \frac{\text{No. of Colonies in Test Plates}}{\text{No. of Colonies in Solvent Control Plates}} \times 100$$

* Chemical Precipitate Evaluation
NP = No precipitate
SP = Slight precipitate; noticeable precipitate on the plate, but no interference with automated plate counting
MP = Moderate precipitate; marked precipitate necessitating hand counting for colony enumeration
HP = Heavy precipitate; large amount of precipitate rendering hand counting difficult or impossible

** Background Lawn Evaluation
NL = Normal, healthy microcolony lawn
SR = Noticeable thinning of the microcolony lawn compared to control
MR = Marked thinning of the microcolony lawn and increase in size of microcolonies compared to control
ER = Extreme thinning of the microcolony lawn and large increase in size of microcolonies compared to control
AB = Absence of microcolonies
OP = Obscured by precipitate

Verified by: QAU:  Study Director: 

TABLE 3
SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM/ESCHERICHIA COLI PLATE INCORPORATION MUTATION ASSAY
MUTATION ASSAY RESULTS - WITHOUT ACTIVATION

SPONSOR: USA RDECOM,AMSRD-MSF
EXPERIMENT NO.: B-1 (Definitive Mutation Assay)
TEST ARTICLE: TMEDA
SITEK STUDY NO.: 0977-2140
SOLVENT: ddH2O
CONC. IN: µg/plate

S. typhimurium		Average No. of Revertants Per Plate						
		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: TA98	REVERTANTS	765	23	19	23	22	18	20
DATE PLATED:	STD. DEV.	36	4	4	12	5	6	4
2/01/2008	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED: 2.724E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA100	REVERTANTS	525	111	102	90	101	103	117
DATE PLATED:	STD. DEV.	52	12	10	8	8	8	28
2/01/2008	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED: 1.780E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1535	REVERTANTS	759	20	10	14	17	10	10
DATE PLATED:	STD. DEV.	7	4	4	7	1	2	7
2/01/2008	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED: 1.922E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1537	REVERTANTS	608	9	7	6	5	5	8
DATE PLATED:	STD. DEV.	99	1	2	2	5	1	2
2/01/2008	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED: 2.134E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

E. coli		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: WP2 uvrA	REVERTANTS	520	16	16	16	18	18	22
DATE PLATED:	STD. DEV.	45	1	5	2	4	4	9
2/01/2008	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED: 2.046E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

NL = Normal, healthy microcolony lawn.
NP = No precipitate.

Verified by: QAU: _____ Study Director: _____

TABLE 4
SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM/ESCHERICHIA COLI PLATE INCORPORATION MUTATION ASSAY
MUTATION ASSAY RESULTS - WITH S-9 ACTIVATION

SPONSOR: USA RDECOM,AMSRD-MSF SITEK STUDY NO.: 0977-2140
EXPERIMENT NO.: B-1 (Definitive Mutation Assay) SOLVENT: ddH2O
TEST ARTICLE: TMEDA CONC. IN: µg/plate

S. typhimurium		Average No. of Revertants Per Plate						
		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: TA98	REVERTANTS	1019	37	29	34	40	34	37
DATE PLATED:	STD. DEV.	32	2	5	9	9	9	11
2/01/2008	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED: 2.724E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA100	REVERTANTS	583	65	69	83	84	69	106
DATE PLATED:	STD. DEV.	93	6	5	8	12	7	51
2/01/2008	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED: 1.780E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1535	REVERTANTS	334	20	20	22	23	22	18
DATE PLATED:	STD. DEV.	20	6	4	3	5	3	5
2/01/2008	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED: 1.922E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1537	REVERTANTS	354	11	6	11	19	13	8
DATE PLATED:	STD. DEV.	55	2	3	3	2	6	2
2/01/2008	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED: 2.134E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

E. coli		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: WP2 uvrA	REVERTANTS	229	23	21	18	26	22	18
DATE PLATED:	STD. DEV.	4	6	12	2	14	2	4
2/01/2008	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED: 2.046E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

NL = Normal, healthy microcolony lawn. NP = No precipitate.

Verified by: QAU: UL Study Director: GK

TABLE 5

SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM/ESCHERICHIA COLI PLATE INCORPORATION MUTATION ASSAY

MUTATION ASSAY RESULTS - WITHOUT ACTIVATION

SPONSOR: USA RDECOM,AMSRD-MSF SITEK STUDY NO.: 0977-2140
EXPERIMENT NO.: B-2 (Confirmatory Mutation Assay) SOLVENT: ddH2O
TEST ARTICLE: TMEDA CONC. IN: µg/plate

S. typhimurium		Average No. of Revertants Per Plate						
		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: TA98	REVERTANTS	604	42	40	40	62	62	62
DATE PLATED:	STD. DEV.	13	5	2	3	5	6	8
03/07/2008	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED: 5.880E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA100	REVERTANTS	649	118	137	145	155	151	184
DATE PLATED:	STD. DEV.	62	11	9	16	6	13	21
03/07/2008	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED: 4.520E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1535	REVERTANTS	493	19	16	19	22	20	18
DATE PLATED:	STD. DEV.	36	4	1	3	8	5	3
03/07/2008	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED: 7.060E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1537	REVERTANTS	123	5	5	7	6	7	5
DATE PLATED:	STD. DEV.	3	1	1	3	3	2	2
03/07/2008	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED: 4.380E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

E. coli		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: WP2 uvrA	REVERTANTS	465	22	23	13	16	14	15
DATE PLATED:	STD. DEV.	14	7	3	1	2	5	1
03/07/2008	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED: 1.296E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

NL = Normal, healthy microcolony lawn. NP = No precipitate.

Verified by: QAU: VL Study Director: GK

TABLE 6
SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM/ESCHERICHIA COLI PLATE INCORPORATION MUTATION ASSAY
MUTATION ASSAY RESULTS - WITH S-9 ACTIVATION

SPONSOR: USA RDECOM,AMSRD-MSF
EXPERIMENT NO.: B-2 (Confirmatory Mutation Assay)
TEST ARTICLE: TMEDA
SITEK STUDY NO.: 0977-2140
SOLVENT: ddH2O
CONC. IN: µg/plate

S. typhimurium		Average No. of Revertants Per Plate						
		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: TA98	REVERTANTS	963	54	83	83	82	87	76
DATE PLATED:	STD. DEV.	228	5	11	5	7	10	10
03/07/2008	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED: 5.880E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA100	REVERTANTS	767	95	105	102	115	133	157
DATE PLATED:	STD. DEV.	85	3	8	10	4	4	21
03/07/2008	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED: 4.520E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1535	REVERTANTS	233	24	28	38	60	40	38
DATE PLATED:	STD. DEV.	80	2	6	16	9	6	5
03/07/2008	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED: 7.060E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1537	REVERTANTS	208	9	12	11	18	16	16
DATE PLATED:	STD. DEV.	18	2	3	4	3	4	6
03/07/2008	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED: 4.380E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

E. coli		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: WP2 uvrA	REVERTANTS	111	20	22	20	24	27	19
DATE PLATED:	STD. DEV.	5	1	3	7	7	6	3
03/07/2008	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED: 1.296E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

NL = Normal, healthy microcolony lawn. NP = No precipitate.

Verified by: QAU: UL Study Director: PK

APPENDIX II

DETAILED PLATE COUNTS AND

BACKGROUND LAWN EVALUATION

SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM PLATE INCORPORATION MUTATION ASSAY
RANGE FINDING TEST COLONY COUNTS AND BACKGROUND LAWN EVALUATION

EXPERIMENT NO.: A1 SITEK STUDY NO.: 0977-2140
TEST ARTICLE: TMEDA SOLVENT: ddH2O
STRAIN: TA100

WITHOUT ACTIVATION

Test Article Conc. µg/Plate	No. of Revertants Per Plate (raw)	(corrected)	Chem. PPT. Eval.*	Background Lawn Evaluation**	No. of Viable Colonies/Plate (raw)	(corrected)	Relative Cloning Efficiency (RCE)
5.0	119	129	NP	NL	948	1005	102%
10	151	163	NP	NL	966	1024	104%
50	171	184	NP	NL	1318	1396	142%
100	136	147	NP	NL	928	984	100%
500	131	142	NP	NL	878	931	95%
1000	162	174	NP	NL	761	808	82%
5000	173	186	NP	NL	314	335	34%
SOLVENT CONTROL	118	128	NP	NL	927	983	100%

$$RCE = \frac{\text{No. of Colonies in Test Plates}}{\text{No. of Colonies in Solvent Control Plates}} \times 100$$

* Chemical Precipitate Evaluation
NP = No precipitate
SP = Slight precipitate; noticeable precipitate on the plate, but no interference with automated plate counting
MP = Moderate precipitate; marked precipitate necessitating hand counting for colony enumeration
HP = Heavy precipitate; large amount of precipitate rendering hand counting difficult or impossible

** Background Lawn Evaluation
NL = Normal, healthy microcolony lawn
SR = Noticeable thinning of the microcolony lawn compared to control
MR = Marked thinning of the microcolony lawn and increase in size of microcolonies compared to control
ER = Extreme thinning of the microcolony lawn and large increase in size of microcolonies compared to control
AB = Absence of microcolonies
OP = Obscured by precipitate

SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM PLATE INCORPORATION MUTATION ASSAY
RANGE FINDING TEST COLONY COUNTS AND BACKGROUND LAWN EVALUATION

EXPERIMENT NO.: A1 SITEK STUDY NO.: 0977-2140
TEST ARTICLE: TMEDA SOLVENT: ddH2O
STRAIN: TA100

WITH S-9 ACTIVATION

Test Article Conc. µg/Plate	No. of Revertants Per Plate (raw)	(corrected)	Chem. PPT. Eval.*	Background Lawn Evaluation**	No. of Viable Colonies/Plate (raw)		(corrected)	Relative Cloning Efficiency (RCE)
5.0	112	121	NP	NL	674	674	716	127%
10	94	102	NP	NL	693	693	736	131%
50	97	106	NP	NL	1095	1095	1161	206%
100	92	100	NP	NL	685	685	727	129%
500	114	124	NP	NL	544	544	578	103%
1000	99	108	NP	NL	553	553	588	104%
5000	135	146	NP	NL	211	211	226	40%
SOLVENT CONTROL	114	124	NP	NL	530	530	563	100%

$$RCE = \frac{\text{No. of Colonies in Test Plates}}{\text{No. of Colonies in Solvent Control Plates}} \times 100$$

* Chemical Precipitate Evaluation
NP = No precipitate
SP = Slight precipitate; noticeable precipitate on the plate, but no interference with automated plate counting
MP = Moderate precipitate; marked precipitate necessitating hand counting for colony enumeration
HP = Heavy precipitate; large amount of precipitate rendering hand counting difficult or impossible

** Background Lawn Evaluation
NL = Normal, healthy microcolony lawn
SR = Noticeable thinning of the microcolony lawn compared to control
MR = Marked thinning of the microcolony lawn and increase in size of microcolonies compared to control
ER = Extreme thinning of the microcolony lawn and large increase in size of microcolonies compared to control
AB = Absence of microcolonies
OP = Obscured by precipitate

ESCHERICHIA COLI PLATE INCORPORATION MUTATION ASSAY
RANGE FINDING TEST COLONY COUNTS AND BACKGROUND LAWN EVALUATION

EXPERIMENT NO.: A1 SITEK STUDY NO.: 0977-2140
TEST ARTICLE: TMEDA SOLVENT: ddH2O
STRAIN: WP2 uvrA

WITHOUT ACTIVATION

Test Article Conc. µg/Plate	No. of Revertants Per Plate (raw)	(corrected)	Chem. PPT. Eval.*	Background Lawn Evaluation**	No. of Viable Colonies/Plate (raw)	(corrected)	Relative Cloning Efficiency (RCE)
5.0	20	24	NP	NL	1388	1470	145%
10	33	38	NP	NL	1286	1363	135%
50	27	32	NP	NL	1213	1285	127%
100	23	27	NP	NL	1337	1417	140%
500	22	26	NP	NL	1110	1177	116%
1000	20	24	NP	NL	1160	1229	121%
5000	33	38	NP	NL	1220	1293	128%
SOLVENT CONTROL	23	27	NP	NL	954	1012	100%

$$RCE = \frac{\text{No. of Colonies in Test Plates}}{\text{No. of Colonies in Solvent Control Plates}} \times 100$$

* Chemical Precipitate Evaluation
NP = No precipitate
SP = Slight precipitate; noticeable precipitate on the plate, but no interference with automated plate counting
MP = Moderate precipitate; marked precipitate necessitating hand counting for colony enumeration
HP = Heavy precipitate; large amount of precipitate rendering hand counting difficult or impossible

** Background Lawn Evaluation
NL = Normal, healthy microcolony lawn
SR = Noticeable thinning of the microcolony lawn compared to control
MR = Marked thinning of the microcolony lawn and increase in size of microcolonies compared to control
ER = Extreme thinning of the microcolony lawn and large increase in size of microcolonies compared to control
AB = Absence of microcolonies
OP = Obscured by precipitate

ESCHERICHIA COLI PLATE INCORPORATION MUTATION ASSAY
RANGE FINDING TEST COLONY COUNTS AND BACKGROUND LAWN EVALUATION

EXPERIMENT NO.: A1 SITEK STUDY NO.: 0977-2140
TEST ARTICLE: TMEDA SOLVENT: ddH2O
STRAIN: WP2 uvrA

WITH S-9 ACTIVATION

Test Article Conc. µg/Plate	No. of Revertants Per Plate (raw)	(corrected)	Chem. PPT. Eval.*	Background Lawn Evaluation**	No. of Viable Colonies/Plate (raw)	(corrected)	Relative Cloning Efficiency (RCE)
5.0	45	51	NP	NL	1256	1331	91%
10	23	27	NP	NL	1363	1444	99%
50	31	36	NP	NL	1610	1705	117%
100	30	35	NP	NL	1635	1732	119%
500	29	34	NP	NL	1769	1873	128%
1000	24	28	NP	NL	1815	1922	132%
5000	27	32	NP	NL	1258	1333	91%
SOLVENT CONTROL	25	30	NP	NL	1379	1461	100%

$$RCE = \frac{\text{No. of Colonies in Test Plates}}{\text{No. of Colonies in Solvent Control Plates}} \times 100$$

* Chemical Precipitate Evaluation
NP = No precipitate
SP = Slight precipitate; noticeable precipitate on the plate, but no interference with automated plate counting
MP = Moderate precipitate; marked precipitate necessitating hand counting for colony enumeration
HP = Heavy precipitate; large amount of precipitate rendering hand counting difficult or impossible

** Background Lawn Evaluation
NL = Normal, healthy microcolony lawn
SR = Noticeable thinning of the microcolony lawn compared to control
MR = Marked thinning of the microcolony lawn and increase in size of microcolonies compared to control
ER = Extreme thinning of the microcolony lawn and large increase in size of microcolonies compared to control
AB = Absence of microcolonies
OP = Obscured by precipitate

SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM/ESCHERICHIA COLI PLATE INCORPORATION MUTATION ASSAY
MUTATION ASSAY RAW COLONY COUNTS AND BACKGROUND LAWN EVALUATION

EXPERIMENT NO.: B-1 (Definitive Mutation Assay) SITEK STUDY NO.: 0977-2140
TEST ARTICLE: TMEDA SOLVENT: ddH2O
CONC. IN: µg/plate

WITHOUT ACTIVATION

S. typhimurium		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: TA98	REVERTANTS	739	15	13	8	14	8	20
	PER	742	22	19	30	24	17	12
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	682	19	12	19	16	18	15
2/01/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
2.724E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA100	REVERTANTS	547	110	98	91	91	104	135
	PER	486	89	99	80	86	90	106
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	448	109	83	76	101	91	82
2/01/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
1.780E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1535	REVERTANTS	710	19	8	8	13	7	1
	PER	723	18	2	5	14	6	6
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	711	12	8	17	13	8	14
2/01/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
1.922E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1537	REVERTANTS	630	6	3	3	4	2	3
	PER	622	6	6	1	6	3	6
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	464	5	2	4	0	1	6
2/01/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
2.134E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

E. coli		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: WP2 uvrA	REVERTANTS	457	11	13	14	12	14	9
	PER	537	12	7	11	19	18	25
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	474	13	16	11	12	10	18
2/01/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
2.046E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

NL = Normal, healthy microcolony lawn. NP = No precipitate.

SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM/ESCHERICHIA COLI PLATE INCORPORATION MUTATION ASSAY
MUTATION ASSAY RAW COLONY COUNTS AND BACKGROUND LAWN EVALUATION

EXPERIMENT NO.: B-1 (Definitive Mutation Assay) SITEK STUDY NO.: 0977-2140
TEST ARTICLE: TMEDA SOLVENT: ddH2O
CONC. IN: µg/plate

WITH S-9 ACTIVATION

S. typhimurium		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: TA98	REVERTANTS	926	31	24	20	25	34	37
	PER	981	31	20	34	37	20	20
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	975	35	29	35	42	35	39
2/01/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
2.724E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA100	REVERTANTS	564	56	64	70	90	66	153
	PER	628	55	66	84	72	55	71
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	454	64	57	72	68	65	69
2/01/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
1.780E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1535	REVERTANTS	292	10	18	19	21	22	10
	PER	319	17	18	15	14	17	19
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	329	22	12	20	23	16	12
2/01/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
1.922E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1537	REVERTANTS	312	9	1	9	14	5	5
	PER	391	7	7	5	17	9	4
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	292	6	2	8	15	15	7
2/01/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
2.134E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

E. coli		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: WP2 uvrA	REVERTANTS	211	25	30	13	8	17	18
	PER	212	16	10	12	22	20	11
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	218	16	9	16	34	16	12
2/01/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
2.046E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

NL = Normal, healthy microcolony lawn. NP = No precipitate.

SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM/ESCHERICHIA COLI PLATE INCORPORATION MUTATION ASSAY
MUTATION ASSAY CORRECTED COLONY COUNTS AND BACKGROUND LAWN EVALUATION

EXPERIMENT NO.: B-1 (Definitive Mutation Assay) SITEK STUDY NO.: 0977-2140
TEST ARTICLE: TMEDA SOLVENT: ddH2O
CONC. IN: µg/plate

WITHOUT ACTIVATION

S. typhimurium		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: TA98	REVERTANTS	784	19	17	12	18	12	24
	PER	788	26	23	35	28	21	16
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	724	23	16	23	20	22	19
2/01/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
2.724E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA100	REVERTANTS	581	119	107	99	99	113	146
	PER	517	97	108	88	94	98	115
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	477	118	91	83	110	99	90
2/01/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
1.780E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1535	REVERTANTS	754	23	12	12	17	10	4
	PER	767	22	5	8	18	9	9
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	755	16	12	21	17	12	18
2/01/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
1.922E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1537	REVERTANTS	669	9	6	6	7	5	6
	PER	661	9	9	4	9	6	9
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	494	8	5	7	0	4	9
2/01/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
2.134E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

E. coli		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: WP2 uvrA	REVERTANTS	486	15	17	18	16	18	13
	PER	571	16	10	15	23	22	30
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	504	17	20	15	16	14	22
2/01/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
2.046E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

NL = Normal, healthy microcolony lawn. NP = No precipitate.

SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM/ESCHERICHIA COLI PLATE INCORPORATION MUTATION ASSAY
MUTATION ASSAY CORRECTED COLONY COUNTS AND BACKGROUND LAWN EVALUATION

EXPERIMENT NO.: B-1 (Definitive Mutation Assay) SITEK STUDY NO.: 0977-2140
TEST ARTICLE: TMEDA SOLVENT: ddH2O
CONC. IN: µg/plate

WITH S-9 ACTIVATION

S. typhimurium		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: TA98	REVERTANTS	982	36	28	24	30	39	42
	PER	1040	36	24	39	42	24	24
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	1034	40	34	40	47	40	44
2/01/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
2.724E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA100	REVERTANTS	599	62	71	77	98	73	165
	PER	667	61	73	92	79	61	78
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	483	71	63	79	75	72	76
2/01/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
1.780E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1535	REVERTANTS	312	14	22	23	25	26	14
	PER	340	21	22	19	18	21	23
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	351	26	16	24	27	20	16
2/01/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
1.922E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1537	REVERTANTS	333	13	4	13	18	8	8
	PER	416	10	10	8	21	13	7
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	312	9	5	12	19	19	10
2/01/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
2.134E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

E. coli		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: WP2 uvrA	REVERTANTS	226	30	35	17	12	21	22
	PER	227	20	14	16	26	24	15
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	234	20	13	20	39	20	16
2/01/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
2.046E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

NL = Normal, healthy microcolony lawn. NP = No precipitate.

SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM/ESCHERICHIA COLI PLATE INCORPORATION MUTATION ASSAY
MUTATION ASSAY RAW COLONY COUNTS AND BACKGROUND LAWN EVALUATION

EXPERIMENT NO.: B-2 (Confirmatory Mutation Assay) SITEK STUDY NO.: 0977-2140
TEST ARTICLE: TMEDA SOLVENT: ddH2O
CONC. IN: µg/plate

WITHOUT ACTIVATION

S. typhimurium		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: TA98	REVERTANTS	574	33	34	35	59	52	52
	PER	578	37	37	38	50	54	65
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	554	42	34	33	58	62	51
03/07/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
5.880E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA100	REVERTANTS	611	110	127	120	149	149	187
	PER	552	98	135	133	139	126	176
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	669	119	119	150	143	145	149
03/07/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
4.520E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1535	REVERTANTS	484	16	12	11	26	18	17
	PER	482	11	13	16	15	10	11
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	425	19	12	17	11	20	14
03/07/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
7.060E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1537	REVERTANTS	111	1	2	7	6	4	1
	PER	115	1	3	5	3	3	1
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	114	3	2	1	1	6	5
03/07/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
4.380E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

E. coli		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: WP2 uvrA	REVERTANTS	441	13	17	10	11	12	12
	PER	422	25	22	8	10	13	11
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	447	14	17	10	14	5	11
03/07/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
1.296E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

NL = Normal, healthy microcolony lawn. NP = No precipitate.

SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM/ESCHERICHIA COLI PLATE INCORPORATION MUTATION ASSAY
MUTATION ASSAY RAW COLONY COUNTS AND BACKGROUND LAWN EVALUATION

EXPERIMENT NO.: B-2 (Confirmatory Mutation Assay) SITEK STUDY NO.: 0977-2140
TEST ARTICLE: TMEDA SOLVENT: ddH2O
CONC. IN: µg/plate

WITH S-9 ACTIVATION

S. typhimurium		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: TA98	REVERTANTS	1044	54	66	73	71	82	79
	PER	1021	45	73	81	82	87	67
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	660	45	87	73	71	68	60
03/07/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
5.880E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA100	REVERTANTS	728	90	104	82	102	123	168
	PER	639	85	96	96	110	127	130
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	800	86	90	101	105	120	137
03/07/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
4.520E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1535	REVERTANTS	131	19	23	23	48	32	39
	PER	249	23	29	25	51	32	31
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	272	19	19	50	63	42	29
03/07/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
7.060E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1537	REVERTANTS	190	8	10	12	10	15	7
	PER	213	5	6	6	15	8	18
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	180	5	8	6	16	12	11
03/07/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
4.380E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

E. coli		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: WP2 uvrA	REVERTANTS	97	17	16	10	20	18	18
	PER	106	15	17	23	13	28	15
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	103	15	21	15	25	21	13
03/07/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
1.296E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

NL = Normal, healthy microcolony lawn. NP = No precipitate.

SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM/ESCHERICHIA COLI PLATE INCORPORATION MUTATION ASSAY
MUTATION ASSAY CORRECTED COLONY COUNTS AND BACKGROUND LAWN EVALUATION

EXPERIMENT NO.: B-2 (Confirmatory Mutation Assay) SITEK STUDY NO.: 0977-2140
TEST ARTICLE: TMEDA SOLVENT: ddH2O
CONC. IN: µg/plate

WITHOUT ACTIVATION

S. typhimurium		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: TA98	REVERTANTS	610	38	39	40	65	58	58
	PER	614	42	42	43	56	60	72
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	589	47	39	38	64	69	57
03/07/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
5.880E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA100	REVERTANTS	649	119	137	130	161	161	201
	PER	587	107	146	144	150	136	189
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	710	129	129	162	154	156	161
03/07/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
4.520E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1535	REVERTANTS	515	20	16	15	31	22	21
	PER	513	15	17	20	19	14	15
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	452	23	16	21	15	24	18
03/07/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
7.060E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1537	REVERTANTS	120	4	5	10	9	7	4
	PER	125	4	6	8	6	6	4
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	124	6	5	4	4	9	8
03/07/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
4.380E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

E. coli		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: WP2 uvrA	REVERTANTS	469	17	21	14	15	16	16
	PER	449	30	26	12	14	17	15
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	476	18	21	14	18	8	15
03/07/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
1.296E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

NL = Normal, healthy microcolony lawn. NP = No precipitate.

SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM/ESCHERICHIA COLI PLATE INCORPORATION MUTATION ASSAY
MUTATION ASSAY CORRECTED COLONY COUNTS AND BACKGROUND LAWN EVALUATION

EXPERIMENT NO.: B-2 (Confirmatory Mutation Assay) SITEK STUDY NO.: 0977-2140
TEST ARTICLE: TMEDA SOLVENT: ddH2O
CONC. IN: µg/plate

WITH S-9 ACTIVATION

S. typhimurium		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: TA98	REVERTANTS	1107	60	73	80	78	90	87
	PER	1082	51	80	89	90	95	74
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	701	51	95	80	78	75	67
03/07/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
5.880E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA100	REVERTANTS	773	98	113	90	111	133	181
	PER	679	93	105	105	119	137	141
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	849	94	98	110	114	130	148
03/07/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
4.520E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1535	REVERTANTS	142	23	27	27	54	37	44
	PER	266	27	34	30	57	37	36
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	291	23	23	56	70	47	34
03/07/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
7.060E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
STRAIN: TA1537	REVERTANTS	204	12	14	16	14	19	10
	PER	228	8	9	9	19	12	22
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	193	8	12	9	20	16	15
03/07/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
4.380E+07	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

E. coli		Positive Control	Solvent Control	Concentration per plate 500	750	1000	3000	5000
STRAIN: WP2 uvrA	REVERTANTS	106	21	20	14	24	22	22
	PER	115	19	21	27	17	33	19
DATE PLATED:	PLATE	112	19	25	19	30	25	17
03/07/2008								
	LAWN	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL
CELLS SEEDED:								
1.296E+08	PRECIPITATE	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP

NL = Normal, healthy microcolony lawn. NP = No precipitate.

APPENDIX III

SITEK'S HISTORICAL POSITIVE AND SOLVENT CONTROL DATA

SITEK RESEARCH LABORATORIES

HISTORICAL SOLVENT CONTROL DATA FOR SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM/E. COLI PLATE INCORPORATION/PREINCUBATION MUTATION ASSAY MUTANT EXPRESSED PER PLATE WITHOUT S-9 ACTIVATION

TA98	DMSO	ACET	CORN OIL	H_2O	SALINE
AVERAGE	23	26	26	24	26
STANDARD DEVIATION (±)	5	5	3	4	6
MINIMUM VALUE	14	11	21	19	17
MAXIMUM VALUE	36	32	31	34	35
N*	52	20	12	34	25

TA100	DMSO	ACET	CORN OIL	H_2O	SALINE
AVERAGE	57	99	77	64	74
STANDARD DEVIATION (±)	15	34	12	12	14
MINIMUM VALUE	38	26	51	45	50
MAXIMUM VALUE	132	174	118	99	132
N*	53	20	16	34	31

TA1535	DMSO	ACET	CORN OIL	H_2O	SALINE
AVERAGE	13	19	15	14	15
STANDARD DEVIATION (±)	3	5	4	4	4
MINIMUM VALUE	9	12	11	8	10
MAXIMUM VALUE	20	33	23	29	24
N*	56	19	14	38	28

TA1537	DMSO	ACET	CORN OIL	H_2O	SALINE
AVERAGE	9	9	10	8	9
STANDARD DEVIATION (±)	3	3	4	2	3
MINIMUM VALUE	2	6	3	5	4
MAXIMUM VALUE	20	16	23	12	15
N*	55	21	14	33	29

E.COLI	DMSO	ACET	CORN OIL	H_2O	SALINE
AVERAGE	14	15	14	16	15
STANDARD DEVIATION (±)	3	4	3	3	4
MINIMUM VALUE	8	8	10	11	10
MAXIMUM VALUE	24	25	19	22	25
N*	53	19	13	35	28

N* = NUMBER OF DATA POINTS.

SITEK RESEARCH LABORATORIES

HISTORICAL SOLVENT CONTROL DATA FOR SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM/E. COLI PLATE INCORPORATION/PREINCUBATION MUTATION ASSAY MUTANT EXPRESSED PER PLATE WITH S-9 ACTIVATION

TA98	DMSO	ACET	CORN OIL	H_2O	SALINE
AVERAGE	30	31	31	33	32
STANDARD DEVIATION (±)	6	4	4	5	7
MINIMUM VALUE	10	23	22	22	20
MAXIMUM VALUE	48	37	35	43	52
N*	53	19	12	36	25

TA100	DMSO	ACET	CORN OIL	H_2O	SALINE
AVERAGE	62	87	45	67	78
STANDARD DEVIATION (±)	18	30	12	14	15
MINIMUM VALUE	41	52	67	46	55
MAXIMUM VALUE	161	174	121	107	115
N*	53	19	18	37	31

TA1535	DMSO	ACET	CORN OIL	H_2O	SALINE
AVERAGE	12	16	15	14	15
STANDARD DEVIATION (±)	3	6	3	3	3
MINIMUM VALUE	8	9	11	7	9
MAXIMUM VALUE	21	33	25	21	23
N*	56	19	14	38	28

TA1537	DMSO	ACET	CORN OIL	H_2O	SALINE
AVERAGE	9	10	10	9	8
STANDARD DEVIATION (±)	3	3	4	3	2
MINIMUM VALUE	4	6	6	6	3
MAXIMUM VALUE	18	14	18	17	11
N*	57	19	22	34	29

E.COLI	DMSO	ACET	CORN OIL	H_2O	SALINE
AVERAGE	16	17	16	17	19
STANDARD DEVIATION (±)	3	4	5	3	5
MINIMUM VALUE	10	12	0	10	10
MAXIMUM VALUE	23	26	26	24	27
N*	53	17	13	35	20

N* = NUMBER OF DATA POINTS.

SITEK RESEARCH LABORATORIES

HISTORICAL POSITIVE CONTROL DATA FOR SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM/E. COLI PLATE INCORPORATION MUTATION/PREINCUBATION ASSAY MUTANT EXPRESSED PER PLATE WITH AND WITHOUT ACTIVATION

WITHOUT ACTIVATION	TA98 (2NF)	TA100 (NaAz)	TA1535 (NaAz)	TA1537 (9AA)	E.COLI (MMS)
AVERAGE	618	419	338	135	444
STANDARD DEVIATION (±)	163	107	86	61	113
MINIMUM VALUE	180	233	57	24	122
MAXIMUM VALUE	1029	924	612	321	702
N*	107	107	109	107	108
WITH ACTIVATION	**TA98 (2AA)**	**TA100 (2AA)**	**TA1535 (2AA)**	**TA1537 (2AA)**	**E.COLI (2AA)**
AVERAGE	583	510	112	59	155
STANDARD DEVIATION (±)	324	261	49	28	83
MINIMUM VALUE	54	105	42	18	45
MAXIMUM VALUE	1732	1438	295	177	500
N*	311	110	109	110	108

N* = NUMBER OF DATA POINTS.

APPENDIX IV

STUDY PROTOCOL AND PROTOCOL AMENDMENT

EVALUATION OF A TEST ARTICLE IN THE *SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM/ ESCHERICHIA COLI* PLATE INCORPORATION MUTATION ASSAY IN THE PRESENCE AND ABSENCE OF INDUCED RAT LIVER S-9

This protocol is presented in two parts. Part One is designed to collect specific information pertaining to the test article and study. Part Two describes the study design in detail. **Please complete all bolded sections in Part One and sign section 8.0 to approve the protocol.**

PART ONE

1.0 SPONSOR

1.1 Name: USA RDECOM, AMSRD-MSF

1.2 Address: Environmental Acquisition & Logistics Sustaining Program

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010

1.3 Sponsor's Study Coordinators: Gunda Reddy, Ph.D., DABT

2.0 TESTING FACILITY

2.1 Name: SITEK Research Laboratories

2.2 Address: 15235 Shady Grove Road, Suite 303
Rockville, Maryland 20850

2.3 Study Director: Shambhu K. Roy, Ph.D.

3.0 STUDY NUMBERS

* 3.1 Testing Facility's Study No.: 0977-2140

3.2 Sponsor's Study No.: Not available

4.0 TEST ARTICLE

GLP's require that test article characterization information must be provided in the final report. This includes identification, lot number, purity, stability, source, and expiration date. As per regulatory requirements, lack of the above information will be cited as a GLP violation in the "Study Director's Compliance Statement" section of the final report.

* To be completed by the Testing Facility.

4.1 Identification

Name: TMEDA (N,N, N'N'-tetramethyl ethanediamine) (CAS Registry No. 110-18-9)

Batch/Lot No.: Not provided

4.2 Description

Color: Clear

Physical Form: Liquid

4.3 Analysis

Purity Information: Not provided
Does the Sponsor require the use of a correction factor to account for impurity?

____ Yes __X__ No

If yes, what is the correction factor? _____

Determination of the test article characteristics as defined by Good Laboratory Practices will be the responsibility of the Sponsor. The specific GLP references for U.S. agencies are: FDA = 21 CFR, 58.105; EPA TSCA = 40 CFR, 792.105 and EPA FIFRA = 40 CFR 160.105.

4.4 Stability

Storage Conditions (check one):

____ Room Temperature __X__ Refrigerated (1-5°C)

____ Frozen (-10 to -20°C)

____ Other (please specify): _____

Expiration Date: _____

4.5 Preferred Solvent (check one):

__X__ H_2O ____ DMSO ____ Acetone ____ Ethanol

____ Other (please specify): _____

____ To be decided by the Testing Facility

4.6 Special Handling Instructions:

Take all safety precautions followed when working with hazardous Substances. See the MSDS.

5.0 REGULATORY AGENCY SUBMISSION

5.1 Test Design Specifications

This study protocol is designed to meet or exceed the US EPA, ICH and OECD Guidelines specified in the following documents (1, 2, 3):

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 798, Health Effects Testing Guidelines, Subpart F, Sec. 798.5265, the *Salmonella typhimurium* reverse mutation assay. Revised July 1, 2002.

OECD Guideline for the Testing of Chemicals, No. 471. Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test. Revised July 21, 1997.

International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use. ICH Harmonised Tripartite Guideline S2A. Guidance on Specific Aspects of Regulatory Genotoxicity Tests for Pharmaceuticals. Federal Register 61 (80): 18198-18202, 1996.

5.2 Good Laboratory Practices

This study will be conducted in compliance with the following Good Laboratory Practice standards:

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations Parts 160 and 792. Revised July 1, 2002.

United States Food and Drug Administration, Title 21 Code of Federal Regulations Part 58. Revised April 1, 2003.

Japanese Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, 11 NohSan, Notification No. 6283, October 1, 1999.

Japanese Ministry of Health and Welfare, Ordinance No. 21, April 1, 1997.

Japanese Ministry of International Trade and Industry, Notification No. 85, Basic Industries Bureau, March 31, 1984.

Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, The OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice, Environment Monograph No. 45 [ENV/MC/CHEM(98)17], Paris 1998.

Will this study be submitted to a regulatory agency?

__X__ Yes **____ No**

If so, which agency(ies)? Worldwide

6.0 TEST ARTICLE/DOSING SOLUTIONS CHARACTERIZATION

The U.S. requirements for analysis of dosing solutions are specified in: FDA = 21 CFR, 58.113; EPA TSCA = 40 CFR, 792.113; and EPA FIFRA = 40 CFR, 160.113.

Does the Sponsor want dosing solution analysis?

____ Yes** **__X__ No**

If yes, please complete the rest of this section.

If requested by the Sponsor, SITEK Research Laboratories will determine the strength and stability of the dosing solutions. The method of analysis may be provided by the Sponsor, or if requested by the Sponsor, SITEK Research Laboratories will develop the method of analysis.

Alternatively, the Sponsor will be responsible for determining the strength and stability of the dosing solutions.

Dosing solution analysis will be performed by:

____ SITEK Research Laboratories **____ Sponsor*****

What dosing solutions will be analyzed?

** Additional charges will apply. See Special Services price schedule.

*** **Please note: All work pertaining to this study that is performed outside of SITEK is the responsibility of SITEK's Study Director. Therefore, as required by the GLPs, all of the following must be forwarded to the Study Director:**

- **All subcontract and/or Sponsor Quality Assurance audit findings and comments.**
- **Any deviations and/or amendments, if applicable.**
- **An original or copy of the analysis report.**
- **Location of where the raw data from the analysis will be archived.**

If the subcontract work is not performed under the GLPs, a statement by the Sponsor informing SITEK's Study Director of such must be provided.

From the Range Finding Test?

____ Yes ____ No

From the Assay?

____ Yes ____ No

Which concentration(s)? _____

What amount of each concentration? _____

At what temperature should the dosing solutions be stored?

____ Room Temperature ____ Frozen (-10 to -20°C)

____ Refrigerated (1-5°C)

At what temperature should the dosing solutions be shipped?

____ Room Temperature ____ On Wet Ice

____ On Dry Ice

7.0 STUDY DATES

* 7.1 Proposed Experimental Start Date: January 24, 2008

Defined as the first date the test article is applied to the test system.

* 7.2 Anticipated Experimental Completion Date: February 15, 2008

Defined as the last date on which data are collected directly from the study.

* 7.3 Anticipated Draft Report Submission Date: March 7, 2008

7.4 Final Report: The final report will be initiated sixty days after remittance of the draft report and issued no later than thirty days thereafter.

SITEK RESEARCH LABORATORIES

8.0 PROTOCOL APPROVAL

* _____ January 16, 2008
Study Director — Date

_____ 1-15-08
Sponsor's Study Coordinator — Date

* Ada O'Donnell — Jan 23, 2008
Quality Assurance Manager — Date

* Ada O'Donnell — Jan 23, 2008
Safety Officer — Date

* To be completed by the Testing Facility.

STUDY DESIGN

PART TWO

9.0 PURPOSE

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the test article for its potential to cause mutations in the histidine operon of *Salmonella typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535 and TA1537 and the tryptophan operon of *Escherichia coli* strain WP2 uvrA.

10.0 JUSTIFICATION FOR SELECTION OF TEST SYSTEM

The *Salmonella typhimurium/Escherichia coli* Plate Incorporation Mutation Assay has been used extensively and has been demonstrated to be effective in detecting the mutagenic activity of chemicals from a wide range of classes.

11.0 ABBREVIATIONS

2-AA	-	2-Aminoanthracene
2-NF	-	2-Nitrofluorene
9-AA	-	9-Aminoacridine
DMSO	-	Dimethyl Sulfoxide
MMS	-	Methyl Methanesulfonate
NaAz	-	Sodium Azide
NADP	-	Nicotinamide-adenine Dinucleotide Phosphate
O.D.	-	Optical Density
%T	-	Percent Transmittance
S-9	-	Induced Rat Liver Homogenate

12.0 INDICATOR CELLS

12.1 Source

The *Salmonella typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535 and TA1537 were obtained from Dr. Bruce N. Ames, University of California, Berkeley, California. The *Escherichia coli* strain WP2 uvrA was obtained from Ms. Judy Mayo of Pharmacia Corporation, Kalamazoo, Michigan.

12.2 Culture Conditions

The *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Escherichia coli* strains are routinely grown in Oxoid Nutrient Broth No. 2 in a shaker incubator rotating at approximately 120 rpm and maintaining a temperature of 37 ± 1°C.

12.3 Stock Cultures

The *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Escherichia coli* strains were propagated to obtain a sufficient number of cells for freezing a large number of stock ampules. The cells were cryopreserved in Oxoid Nutrient Broth No. 2 supplemented with 8-9% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and stored in liquid nitrogen vapor phase. Scrapes from stock ampules are used to initiate the stock cultures for the test.

13.0 METABOLIC ACTIVATION

The standard rat liver S-9 prepared from male Sprague-Dawley rats with Aroclor-1254 or Phenobarbital and/or β-naphthoflavone will be used for the metabolic activation system

14.0 ROUTE OF ADMINISTRATION OF TEST ARTICLE

The test article will be administered in vitro directly or through a solvent compatible with the test cultures. This is the only route of administration available in this test system.

15.0 TEST SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION

All test plates will be labeled using an indelible pen with a code system which clearly identifies the experiment number, the SITEK test article number, controls, doses, and whether or not the plate was treated in conjunction with an exogenous activation system.

The test article will be designated by the unique four-digit number assigned by SITEK when the test article is received (e.g., 0074). The experiment phase will be designated by the letter A (Range Finding Test) or B (Mutation Assay) followed by a number designating the trial number. This will be followed by the letter N (No Activation) or S (With S-9) which will be followed by the dose and strain identification numbers. The doses will be identified by the numbers 1, 2, 3, ... indicating the highest to the lowest dose. The strain identification numbers will be as follows:

Salmonella typhimurium	*Escherichia coli*
1 = TA98	5 = WP2 uvrA
2 = TA100	
3 = TA1535	
4 = TA1537	

An example of a plate label follows:

0074B1-S-1-3

0074	=	SITEK Test Article Number
B1	=	First Mutation Assay
S	=	With S-9
1	=	Highest Test Article Dose
3	=	Strain TA1535

In addition to the above, the Range Finding Test and Mutation Assay viability plates that contain 10X (0.5mM) histidine biotin or 10X (0.5mM) tryptophan will be designated with the prefix "T".

16.0 CONTROL SUBSTANCES

16.1 Positive Controls

The positive control chemicals that will be used for the tester strains in the presence and absence of exogenous metabolic activation are presented below. The abbreviations are defined in Section 11.0.

	Strain	S-9	Chemical	Dose (µg/plate)
Salmonella typhimurium				
	TA98	-	2-NF	2.5-7.5
	TA98	+	2-AA	1.25-5.0
	TA100	-	NaAz	0.5-2.0
	TA100	+	2-AA	1.25-5.0
	TA1535	-	NaAz	0.5-2.0
	TA1535	+	2-AA	1.25-5.0
	TA1537	-	9-AA	25-75
	TA1537	+	2-AA	1.25-5.0
Escherichia coli				
	WP2 uvrA	-	MMS	2000-4000
	WP2 uvrA	+	2-AA	10-20

If necessary, other appropriate positive controls can be used with the approval of the Sponsor.

DMSO will be used to solubilize the positive controls, except for NaAz and MMS, which will be dissolved in deionized, distilled H_2O.

16.2 Solvent Control

The solvent used for dissolving the test article will be used as the solvent control. Deionized, distilled water, dimethyl sulfoxide (CAS #67-68-5), ethanol (CAS #64-17-5) and acetone (CAS #67-64-1) are some of the solvents which are compatible with this test system. If there is a need to use other solvents, the approval of the Sponsor will be obtained prior to their use.

17.0 DOCUMENTATION

All procedures, results, significant observations, and methods used for analysis of results will be documented in a study notebook. The study notebook will also include copies of the protocol, all protocol amendments and protocol deviations, study reports, and all relevant communications with the Sponsor.

18.0 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

18.1 Determination of Solubility/Miscibility

In order to determine the optimal vehicle for delivering the test article to the test system or to determine the maximum achievable concentration in the solvent requested by the Sponsor, a solubility/miscibility test may be performed, if necessary. The solvents of choice for this system are water, DMSO, acetone and ethanol. If the test article is not sufficiently soluble in any of these solvents, additional solvents will be screened.

For solid and viscous test articles, the solubility test will consist of weighing out 20- to 100-mg aliquots of test article and adding solvent in 0.1 mL increments, with thorough mixing between additions, until the test article is dissolved as determined by visual inspection or until 5.0 mL of solvent has been added to the vessel. The volume of solvent required for complete dissolution and any additional observations will be recorded in the study notebook. Test articles that do not dissolve in 5.0 mL of solvent will be visually inspected and recorded as either "not soluble," "partially soluble forming a homogeneous suspension," or "partially soluble not forming a homogeneous suspension."

For liquid test articles, a miscibility test will be conducted. 0.5 mL of solvent will be added to 0.5 mL aliquots of the test article. The resulting solution will be thoroughly mixed and observed for miscibility. The test article will be rated by visual inspection as either "not miscible," "partially miscible," or "completely miscible" in each of the four preferred solvents. The miscibility rating and any additional observations will be recorded in the study notebook.

Where solubility cannot be achieved, the test article will be delivered as a suspension in the desired vehicle. If sufficient solubility data is available, the solubility/miscibility test will not be performed.

18.2 Preparation of Test Cultures

The strains of *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Escherichia coli* will be prepared from cultures that were started from scrapes placed in Oxoid Nutrient Broth No. 2. The cultures will be placed on the shaker, and a timer turns on the incubator approximately 8-12 or 4-6 hours for *Salmonella typhimurium* or *Escherichia coli*, respectively, prior to sampling the cultures for growth determination. The incubator will be set at 120 rpm and 37 ± 1°C. Samples from each culture will be checked for Percent Transmittance (%T) at 650 nm.

Only cultures that have a %T of between 25% (O.D. 0.6) and 10% (O.D. 1.0) will be used.

18.3 Preparation of S-9 Metabolic Activation Mix

For the portion of the Range Finding Test or the Mutation Assay in which the cells are exposed to the test article in conjunction with an exogenous metabolic activation system, induced rat liver S-9 plus cofactors (S-9 mix) will be used as the activation system. The components of the standard S-9 mix will be 8mM $MgCl_2$, 33mM KCl, 5mM glucose-6-phosphate, 4mM NADP, 100mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), and 10% rat liver S-9.

18.4 Preparation of Test Article

The desired amount of the test article as specified in the dilution scheme will be weighed or measured just prior to use in either the Range Finding Test or the Mutation Assay. The dosing solutions will be prepared by adding the appropriate volume of solvent to the test article and thoroughly mixing the resulting solution until the test article goes completely into solution or a homogeneous suspension is achieved. The remaining doses specified in the dilution scheme will be prepared by either performing a serial dilution or by varying the volume delivered from the stock concentration to the cultures. In all treatments the amount of solvent delivered to the target cultures will be limited to a level which has no cytotoxic effect on the cells. If necessary, the test article may be added directly to the top agar.

18.5 Range Finding Test

In order to determine the test article concentrations that will produce from 0-100% toxicity, a Range Finding Test will be performed with and without S-9 activation using tester strains TA100 and WP2 uvrA only. The test article will be weighed or measured, and a serial dilution will be prepared. If there are no solubility/miscibility limitations, prior knowledge of cytotoxicity indicates differently, or the Sponsor specifies differently, the treatment concentrations for solid and viscous test articles will be 5000, 1000, 500, 100, 50, 10 and 5.0 µg/plate. If the results based on the dosing regimen indicate that the threshold level of complete toxicity is below 5.0 µg/plate an additional Range Finding Test will be performed.

18.5.1 Treatment

2.0 mL aliquots of molten top agar, to which trace amounts of histidine and biotin have been added, will be dispensed to a series of culture tubes maintained at 45 ± 1°C. Treatment will be performed by adding 0.5 mL of S-9 mix or 0.5 mL of sterile, distilled, deionized water, 0.1 mL of tester strain TA100 or WP2 uvrA, and 0.1 mL of test article to the top agar. Appropriate solvent controls will also be prepared.

In addition, plates for determining viability will be prepared by plating the test article doses with a $2.0x10^5$ dilution of tester strain TA100 or WP2 uvrA in top agar containing 10X histidine-biotin or 10X tryptophan, respectively.

The contents will be mixed by vortexing the tube, and then the contents will be poured onto a bottom agar plate and evenly distributed by gently tilting and rotating the plate. The plate will be placed on a flat, level surface until solidified. After all treatment is performed, the plates will be inverted and incubated at 37 ± 1°C for 48-72 hours.

18.5.2 Determination of Toxicity

After 48-72 hours of incubation, the plates will be removed from the incubator and evaluated or placed in cold storage (1-5°C) until evaluated.

Evaluation of test article toxicity on the tester strain will be based on three end points:

1. Viability of cells plated on minimal medium plates supplemented with excess histidine-biotin or tryptophan. Toxicity will be measured as a decrease in the number of colonies per plate with increasing test article concentration.

2. The number of revertant colonies on minimal medium plates supplemented with trace amounts of histidine-biotin or tryptophan. Toxicity will be measured as a reduction in the number of revertant colonies per plate with increasing test article concentration.

3. The integrity of the background microcolony lawn. Toxicity will be measured as a thinning or disappearance of the background lawn usually occurring with an increase in the size of the remaining microcolonies relative to the control plates.

The number of revertants per plate and the number of viable colonies per plate will be determined by counting them with an automatic colony counter or by hand as described in Sections 18.6.5.1 and 18.6.5.2.

The counts will be entered directly in the Excel 97 computer program 2140A. and the calculations will be performed. The computer printouts will be included in the study notebook.

18.6 Mutation Assay

The maximum concentration of nontoxic test articles that is tested will be 5 mg per plate, unless the Sponsor requests otherwise or precipitation of the test article on the plate warrants the use of a lower concentration. Test articles that produce a toxic effect will be tested at a maximum dose that significantly reduces the number of revertants per plate and/or causes thinning of the background lawn. Four lower doses will be selected that should not produce toxicity. Test articles that are insoluble at concentrations of 5 mg per plate or lower will be tested at a maximum dose that produces precipitate. A concentration that produces precipitate in the test system will be considered to be beyond the limits of solubility. The actual dose levels for the assay, once determined, will be added to the protocol in the form of an amendment. Each test article dose, the positive controls and solvent controls will be plated in triplicate.

18.6.1 Test Culture Preparation and Exposure

Cultures of *Salmonella typhimurium*, TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, and *Escherichia coli* WP2 uvrA for use in the Mutation Assay will be prepared as described in Section 18.2. The test article will be weighed or measured, and a serial dilution will be performed as previously described in Section 18.4. 2 mL aliquots of molten top agar to which histidine and biotin or tryptophan have been added will be dispensed to a series of culture tubes maintained at 45 ± 1°C. Treatment will be performed by adding 0.5 mL of S-9 mix or 0.5 mL of sterile, distilled, deionized water, 0.1 mL of tester strain, and 0.1 mL of test article to the top agar. Appropriate solvent and positive controls will also be prepared. The contents will be mixed by vortexing the tube, and then the contents will be poured onto a bottom agar plate and evenly distributed by gently tilting and rotating the plate. The plate will be placed on a flat, level surface until solidified. After all treatment will be performed, the plates will be inverted and incubated at 37 ± 1°C for 48-72 hours.

18.6.2 Confirmation of Tester Strain Genotypes

On the same day as the plating of the Mutation Assay, the genotypes of the tester strains will be confirmed. All of the *Salmonella typhimurium* strains will be tested for histidine dependence and the rfa mutation. Each *Salmonella typhimurium* strain will be tested for the uvrB deletion after cryopreservation of the stock ampules. The tester strains TA98 and TA100 will also be tested for the pKM101 plasmid. The *Escherichia coli* WP2 uvrA strain will be tested for tryptophan dependence.

18.6.3 Tester Strain Viability Determination

After the Mutation Assay has been plated, a dilution of each tester strain will be prepared, and approximately 250-500 bacteria will be plated in top agar supplemented with 10X histidine-biotin or 10X tryptophan. These plates will be incubated for 48-72 hours, and then the total number of colonies that develop will be determined.

18.6.4 Background Lawn Evaluation

The integrity of the background microcolony lawn will be evaluated by viewing each plate with the aid of a 2X to 4X microscope. The lawns will be rated as normal, slightly reduced, markedly reduced, extremely reduced or absent.

18.6.5 Enumeration of Colonies

After 48-72 hours of incubation, the plates treated with the highest test article concentration will be observed for the presence of precipitate. If precipitate is absent, the entire assay will be counted using an automatic colony counter. If observation of the high dose plates reveals precipitate that interferes with accurate automatic counting, those plates will be counted by hand. The procedure will be repeated for each subsequent dose level or until no precipitate is evident.

18.6.5.1 Automatic Colony Counting

Each plate will be placed on the stage, and three counts are made with the automatic counter. The plate will be rotated on the stage approximately 120° between each count, and the median count will be recorded.

18.6.5.2 Hand Counting

Hand counting of colonies will be performed by marking a dot over each colony on the bottom of the plate while clicking off the counts on a digitometer. The hand count will be recorded for each plate.

The counts will be entered directly in the Excel 97 computer program 2140B. The computer printouts will be included in the study notebook.

18.7 Confirmatory Mutation Assay

If the first Mutation Assay gives negative or equivocal results, a confirmatory Mutation Assay will be performed. The test article treatment concentrations may be altered based on the results obtained in the first Mutation Assay. On the other hand, if the results of the first Mutation Assay are clearly positive, a confirmatory Mutation Assay may or may not be performed depending on the Sponsor's instructions.

18.8 Criteria For a Valid Assay

The following criteria will be used as guidelines in determining the acceptability of the results. Since it is impossible to formulate criteria that would apply to every configuration of data generated by the Mutation Assay, the Study Director will be responsible for the ultimate decision regarding the acceptability of the results.

18.8.1 Solvent Control Cultures

The mean reversion frequency of the test article solvent control plates for each strain must fall within the range presented below.

Salmonella typhimurium Escherichia coli

TA98	30 ± 15	WP2 uvrA	15 ± 10
TA100	100 ± 70		
TA1535	20 ± 15		
TA1537	15 ± 12		

18.8.2 Positive Controls

The results for the positive control cultures will be considered acceptable if the treated strains have mean reversion frequencies that are three times or greater than the mean reversion frequencies of the test article solvent control plates.

18.8.3 Tester Strain Characterization

1. All of the *Salmonella typhimurium* strains will be confirmed positive for histidine dependence and the *Escherichia coli* strain for tryptophan dependence.

2. All of the *Salmonella typhimurium* strains will be confirmed positive for the rfa mutation as evidenced by sensitivity to crystal violet.

3. The R-factor strains, TA98 and TA100, will be confirmed positive for the pKM101 plasmid as evidenced by ampicillin resistance.

4. The titer of the stock cultures of each strain will indicate that the stock cultures contained greater than $0.5x10^9$ cells/mL.

18.9 Evaluation of Test Results

The following criteria will be used as guidelines in evaluating the results of the Mutation Assay for a negative, positive or equivocal response. Since it is impossible to write criteria that would apply to every configuration of data generated by the Mutation Assay, the Study Director will be responsible for the ultimate decision in the evaluation of the results. The factors considered in making the decision will be discussed in the report.

18.9.1 Criteria for a Negative Response

A response will be considered negative if 1) strains TA98 and TA100 have mean reversion frequencies that are less than twice that of the mean reversion frequencies of the corresponding solvent control plates, 2) strains TA1535, TA1537 and WP2 uvrA have mean reversion frequencies less than three times that of the corresponding solvent control plates, and 3) there is no evidence of a dose-dependent response.

18.9.2 Criteria for a Positive Response

A response will be considered positive if either strain TA98 or TA100 has a dose that produces a mean reversion frequency that is greater than or equal to two times the mean reversion frequency of the corresponding solvent control plates or if either strain TA1535, TA1537 or WP2 uvrA has a dose producing a three-fold or greater increase in the mean reversion frequency compared to the solvent control frequency. In addition, the response must be dose-dependent or increasing concentrations of the test article must show increasing mean reversion frequencies. In evaluating the results, consideration will be given to the degree of toxicity exhibited by the dose causing the two-fold/three-fold or greater increase in reversion frequency and the magnitude of the increase in reversion frequency.

18.9.3 Criteria for an Equivocal Response

A response will be considered equivocal if it does not fulfill the criteria of either a negative or a positive response and/or the Study Director does not consider the response to be either positive or negative.

In addition, if either strain TA1535, TA1537 or WP2 uvrA has a dose producing a twofold increase in mean reversion frequency compared to the solvent control frequency and there is a dose-dependent response at lower concentrations in this strain, then this results will be considered equivocal and the test may be repeated after consultation with the Sponsor.

19.0 PROTOCOL AMENDMENTS AND DEVIATIONS

If changes in the approved protocol are necessary, such changes will be documented in the form of protocol amendments and protocol deviations. Protocol amendments will be generated when changes in the protocol are made prior to performing a study or part of a study affected by the changes. In such cases, a verbal agreement to make such changes will be made between the Study Director and the Sponsor. These changes and the reasons for them will be documented and attached to the protocol as an addendum. Protocol deviations will be generated when the procedures used to perform the study do not conform to the approved protocol. The Sponsor will be informed of these deviations, and as soon as practical, such changes along with their reasons or explanations will be documented and kept in the study notebook.

20.0 REPORT OF RESULTS

20.1 Content

The results of the study will be submitted to the Sponsor in the form of a final report. A draft report will be submitted before the final report is issued. The final report will be initiated sixty days after remittance of the draft report and issued no later than thirty days thereafter. The report will include, but not be limited to, the following:

1. Name and address of the testing facility and the dates on which the study was initiated and completed, terminated or discontinued.

2. Objectives and procedures stated in the approved protocol, including any changes in the original protocol.

3. Methods used to analyze the data.

4. The test and control substances.

5. Description of the methods used to perform the study.

6. The data, mean plate counts, +/- SD, and any observations regarding toxicity and precipitate.

7. The name and signature of the Study Director and the names of other technical personnel who participated in performing the study.

8. The location where the raw data and reports are to be stored.

9. A statement from the Quality Assurance Unit.

20.2 Changes and Corrections to the Final Report

All changes to the final report will be in the form of a report amendment which will include the reason(s) for the change, and the amendment will be added to the final report as an addendum.

21.0 ARCHIVES

The raw data, electronic file containing the data tables, documentation, protocol and final report of the study will be maintained in the SITEK Research Laboratories Archives, 15235 Shady Grove Road, Suite 303, Rockville, Maryland, according to the terms and conditions of the study.

22.0 REFERENCES

1. Ames, B. N., J. McCann and E. Yamasaki. Methods for detecting carcinogens and mutagens with the *Salmonella*/mammalian-microsome mutagenicity test. Mut. Res., 31:347-367, 1975.

2. Maron, D., and B. N. Ames. Revised methods for the *Salmonella* mutagenicity test. Mut. Res., 113:173-215, 1983.

3. Green, M. H. L., and W. J. Muriel. Mutagen testing using trp+ reversion in *Escherichia coli*. In: B. J. Kilbey, et al. (eds.), Handbook of Mutagenicity Test Procedures, pp. 65-94, Elsevier North Holland Biomedical Press, Amsterdam, 1977.

4. Venitt, S., and J. M. Parry (eds.). Mutagenicity testing: A practical approach. IRL Press, Oxford, England and Washington, D.C., 1984.

PROTOCOL AMENDMENT

Amendment No.:	1
Sponsor:	USA RDECOM, AMSRD-MSF Environmental Acquisition & Logistics Sustaining Program Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010
Testing Facility:	SITEK Research Laboratories 15235 Shady Grove Road, Suite 303 Rockville, Maryland 20850
SITEK's Study No.:	0977-2140
Sponsor's Study No.:	N/A
Test Article ID:	TMEDA
Protocol Title:	Evaluation of a Test Article in the *Salmonella Typhimurium/Escherichia Coli* Plate Incorporation Mutation Assay in the Presence and Absence of Induced Rat Liver S-9

Amendment No. 1: Protocol Page 2, Sections 4.1, Identification and 4.3, Purity Information. The test article was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and the Batch No. is 10588KD and the Purity is 99.8% 96. pk 6-13-08

Reason for Amendment No. 1: The information regarding Batch No. and Purity of the test article was provided by the Sponsor after the protocol was signed. (See e-mail from Dr. Reddy dated January 28, 2008 in the relevant communications section of the study notebook).

APPROVED:

Shambhu K. Roy, Ph.D.
Study Director

01.29.2008
Date

PROTOCOL AMENDMENT

Amendment No.:	2
Sponsor:	USA RDECOM, AMSRD-MSF Environments Acquisition & Logistics Sustaining Program Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010
Testing Facility:	SITEK Research Laboratories 15235 Shady Grove Road, Suite 303 Rockville, Maryland 20850
SITEK's Study No.:	0977-2140
Sponsor's Study No.:	N/A
Test Article ID:	TMEDA
Protocol Title:	Evaluation of a Test Article in the *Salmonella typhimurium/Escherichia coli* Plate Incorporation Mutation Assay in the Presence and Absence of Induced Rat Liver S-9

Amendment No. 2: Protocol Page 1, Section 2.3, Study Director, The Study Director is changed from Shambhu K. Roy, Ph.D. to Paul E. Kirby, Ph.D.

Reason for Amendment No. 2: Shambhu K. Roy, Ph.D. is no longer in the employ of SITEK Research Laboratories.

APPROVED:

Paul E. Kirby, Ph.D.
Study Director

4-14-08
Date

PROTOCOL AMENDMENT

Amendment No.:	3
Sponsor:	USA RDECOM, AMSRD-MSF Environments Acquisition & Logistics Sustaining Program Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010
Testing Facility:	SITEK Research Laboratories 15235 Shady Grove Road, Suite 303 Rockville, Maryland 20850
SITEK's Study No.:	0977-2140
Sponsor's Study No.:	N/A
Test Article ID:	TMEDA
Protocol Title:	Evaluation of a Test Article in the *Salmonella typhimurium/Escherichia coli* Plate Incorporation Mutation Assay in the Presence and Absence of Induced Rat Liver S-9

Amendment No. 3: Protocol Page 12, Section 18.6, Mutation Assay, The concentrations of test article used in the Definitive and Confirmatory Assays both with and without activation were 500, 750, 1000, 3000 and 5000 µg/plate.

Reason for Amendment No. 3: Protocol Page 12, Section 18.6, Mutation Assay, specifies that the actual dose levels used for the assays will be added to the protocol in the form of an amendment.

APPROVED:

Paul E. Kirby, Ph.D.
Study Director

4-15-08
Date

PROTOCOL DEVIATION

Deviation No.:	1
Sponsor:	USA RDECOM, AMSRD-MSF Environments Acquisition & Logistics Sustaining Program Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010
Testing Facility:	SITEK Research Laboratories 15235 Shady Grove Road, Suite 303 Rockville, Maryland 20850
SITEK's Study No.:	0977-2140
Sponsor's Study No.:	N/A
Test Article ID:	TMEDA
Protocol Title:	Evaluation of a Test Article in the *Salmonella typhimurium/Escherichia coli* Plate Incorporation Mutation Assay in the Presence and Absence of Induced Rat Liver S-9

Deviation No. 1: Protocol Page 15, Section 18.8.3, Tester Strain Characterization, Item 4 indicates that, "The titer of the stock cultures of each strain will indicate that the stock cultures contained greater than 0.5 x 10^9 cells/mL. In the Confirmatory Assay, strains TA100 and TA1537 had respective titers indicating that 0.452 x 10^9 and 0.438 x 10^9 cells/mL were present in the cultures.

Reason for Amendment No. 3: To document the lower titers seen in the Confirmatory Assay for strains TA100 and TA1537. These slightly lower titers would have no affect on the outcome of the assay.

APPROVED:

Paul E. Kirby, Ph.D.
Study Director

4-15-08
Date

APPENDIX V

S-9 BATCH INFORMATION

MOLTOX POST MITOCHONDRIAL SUPERNATANT (S-9) QUALITY CONTROL & PRODUCTION CERTIFICATE

LOT NO.: 2212
PART NO.: 11-101
VOLUME: 2ml
SPECIES: Rat
STRAIN: Sprague Dawley
SEX: Male
TISSUE: Liver
PREPARATION DATE: November 8, 2007
EXPIRATION DATE: November 8, 2009
BUFFER: 0.154 M KCl
INDUCING AGENT(s): Aroclor 1254 (Monsanto KL615), 500 mg/kg i.p.
REFERENCE: Maron, D & Ames, B, *Mutat Res* **113**:173, 1983
STORAGE: At or below -70°C

BIOCHEMISTRY:

- PROTEIN

38.9 mg/ml — Assayed according to the method of Lowry et al., *JBC* **193**:265, 1951 using bovine serum albumin as the standard.

- ALKOXYRESORUFIN-0-DEALKYLASE ACTIVITIES

Activity	P450	Fold - Induction	
EROD	IA1, IA2	61.1	Assays for ethoxyresorufin-0-deethylase (EROD), pentoxy-, benzyl- and methoxyresorufin-0-dealkylases (PROD, BROD, & MROD) were conducted using a modification of the methods of Burke, et al., *Biochem Pharm* **34**:3337, 1985. Fold-inductions were calculated as the ratio of the sample vs. uninduced specific activities (SA's). Control SA's (pmoles/min/mg protein) were 27.1, 15.0, 36.4, & 9.0 for EROD, PROD, BROD and MROD, respectively.
PROD	2B1	13.8	
BROD	2B1	18.1	
MROD	1A2	34.0	

BIOASSAY:

- TEST FOR THE PRESENCE OF ADVENTITIOUS AGENTS

Samples of S-9 were assayed for the presence of contaminating microflora by plating 1.0 ml volumes on Nutrient Agar and Minimal Glucose (Vogel-Bonner E, supplemented with 0.05 mM L-histidine and D-biotin) media. Triplicate plates were read after 40 - 48 h incubation at 35 ± 2°C. The tested samples met acceptance criteria.

- PROMUTAGEN ACTIVATION

No. His+ Revertants	
TA98	TA1535
132.4	1.062

The ability of the sample to activate ethidium (EtBr) EtBr/CPA/and cyclophosphamide (CPA) to intermediates mutagenic to TA98 and TA1535, respectively, was determined according to Lesca, et al., *Mutation Res* **129**:299, 1984. Data were expressed as revertants per µg EtBr or per mg CPA.

Dilutions of the sample S9, ranging from 0.2 - 10% in S9 mix, were tested for their ability to activate benzo(a)pyrene (BP) and 2-aminoanthracene (2-AA) to intermediates mutagenic to TA100. Assays were conducted using duplicate plates as described by Maron & Ames, (*Mutat Res* **113**:173, 1983).

µl S9 per plate/number *his*$^+$ revertants per plate

Promutagen	0	1	5	10	20	50
BP (5 µg)	141	259	594	714	906	1050
2-AA (2.5 µg)	152	372	927	1228	1496	1297

MOLECULAR TOXICOLOGY, INC.
157 Industrial Park Dr.
Boone, NC 28607
(828) 264-9099

APPENDIX VI

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

SIGMA-ALDRICH

Certificate of Analysis

Product Name	*N,N,N',N'*-Tetramethylethylenediamine, ≥99.5%, purified by redistillation
Product Number	411019
Product Brand	Aldrich
CAS Number	110-18-9
Molecular Formula	$(CH_3)_2NCH_2CH_2N(CH_3)_2$
Molecular Weight	116.20

TEST	SPECIFICATION	LOT 10588KD RESULTS
APPEARANCE	COLORLESS LIQUID	COLORLESS LIQUID
INFRARED SPECTRUM	CONFORMS TO STRUCTURE.	CONFORMS TO STRUCTURE.
GAS LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY	99.50% (MINIMUM)	99.86%
COLOR TEST	20 APHA (MAXIMUM)	<10 APHA
QUALITY CONTROL ACCEPTANCE DATE		SEPTEMBER 2005

Barbara Rajzer, Supervisor
Quality Control
Milwaukee, Wisconsin USA